EXHIBIT 2

Case 1:00-cv-01898-VSB-VF Document 3315-5 Filed 03/15/11 Page 2 of 41

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

Methyl Tertiary Butyl: Master File No. 1:00-1898

Ether ("MTBE") : MDL NO. 1358 (SAS)

Products Liability : M21-88

Litigation :

This Document Relates to:
Orange County Water District
v. Unocal Corporation, et al.,
S.D.N.Y. No. 04 Civ. 4968 (SAS)

CONFIDENTIAL (Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order)

July 8, 2008

Videotaped Deposition of MICHELLE BOYD,

OCWD'S 30(b)(6) DESIGNEE, held in the law offices of

Latham & Watkins, 650 Towne Center Drive, Suite 2000,

Costa Mesa, California beginning at 9:44 a.m., before

Sandra Bunch VanderPol, RPR, RMR, CRR, CSR #3032.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

877.370.3377 ph|917.591.5672 fax

EXHIBIT

deps@golkow.com

	D 20		D 22
	Page 30		Page 32
1	to see if the location is safe, if there is indeed a	1	systems around the state that extract water from the
2	streamflow or an area where we can sample. Or if	2	ground and serve it to customers to meet those
. 3	it's a well, they go and check it out to make sure	3	standards; is that your understanding?
4	that it's a well that's sample-able and if our	4	A. Correct.
5	equipment that we have can actually fit into the	5	Q. So the various cities and water
6	well, or that kind of thing.	6	systems within Orange County's geographic Orange
7	Q. In addition to wells which take water	7	County Water District's geographic boundaries has
8	from the subsurface, you know, did fieldwork also	8-	certain obligations to sample the water that they are
9	involve sampling surface water sources?	9	producing and to analyze it for compliance with the
10	A. Correct.	10	drinking water standards set by Department of Health
11	Q. And is the staff of nine that do this	11	Services; is that correct?
12	fieldwork, do they do essentially all the groundwater	12	A. Correct.
13	and surface water sampling for the Orange County	13	Q. And what is the role that Orange
14	Water District?	14	County Water District plays in that?
15	A. Correct.	15	A. We perform the monitoring, the field
16	Q. Are there projects of the department	16	monitoring and the lab analysis.
17	that you coordinate, other than sampling groundwater	17	Q. And what is involved in performing
18	or surface water or performing recon for new sites?	18	the field monitoring and lab analysis?
19	A. No.	19	A. Staff goes out and samples drinking
20	Q. You mentioned that in your current	20	water wells for all the different parameters required
21	position at Orange County Water District, that you	21	by the state at the frequency they are required, and
22	were involved in all the different cities in the	22	we return them to the lab for analysis.
23	district. What did you mean by that?	23	Q. Now, I want to go back to the time
24	A. We coordinate their groundwater	24	that you were employed by the City of Fountain
25	compliance monitoring. The water district, on their	25	Valley. And I believe you said that during that
ŧ	Page 31		Page 33
1	behalf, coordinates their groundwater compliance	1	period, the City of Fountain Valley performed the
2	monitoring for the drinking water.	2	sampling of its own wells for the Department of
3	Q. So the Water Quality Department of	3	Health Services; is that correct?
4	Orange County Water District coordinates the	4	A. No. Not its wells. Its water
5	compliance monitoring of the various cities and water	5	system.
6	systems within the geographic boundaries of the	6	Q. All right. So maybe you can explain
7	district?	7	what it is that they sampled themselves and what they
8	A. Correct.	8 -	didn't sample themselves.
9	Q. What is the compliance monitoring	9	A. The water district samples the water
10	that you refer to?	10	source, the well. The water system municipality,
11	A. The Title 22 drinking water	11	water district, samples the distribution system.
12	monitoring.	12	Q. So there's really two different
1,3	Q. And for those of us who are not	13	points at which the Department of Health Services
14	conversant with this technical information, what is	14	requires water to be sampled
15	the Title 22 drinking water sampling?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. It's the state drinking water	16	Q is that correct?
$\frac{1}{17}$	compliance regulations.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And that's done by DHS that is a	18	Q. One of them is the water source,
19	program administered by the Department of Health	19	which could be either groundwater or surface water;
	F D animiote and J. the Separation of Health		is that correct?
1 20	Services?	ZU	
$\frac{20}{21}$	Services? A Yes.	20 21	A. Correct
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Correct. O. And the other is the water in the
21	A. Yes. Q. Department of Health Services sets	21 22	Q. And the other is the water in the
21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Department of Health Services sets specific standards for various contaminants in water?	21 22 23	Q. And the other is the water in the system that's actually being distributed to
21	A. Yes. Q. Department of Health Services sets	21 22	Q. And the other is the water in the

	Page 166		Page 168
1	A. Correct.	1	Please be advised I have read the foregoing
2	MR. CORRELL: I have nothing further.	2 ·	deposition, and I state there are:
3	MR. HEARTNEY: Nothing further. Although,	3	(Check one)NO CORRECTIONS
4	we are not completed in this portion of the	4	CORRECTIONS PER ATTACHED
5	deposition, in my view, for the reasons that we	5	
6	talked about off line.	6.	
7	MR. AXLINE: I'm going to make a statement	7	
- 8	for the record here. I don't think I have any	8	MICHELLE BOYD
i	follow-up questions.	9	MICHELE DO I D
9	• •	10	
10	But I'm looking at the designated issue	_	•
11	the notice of designated issues for this deposition.	11	•
12	There are many, many issues. One issue No. 1 is	12	
13	divided into a number of subparts. One subpart of	13	•
14	issue No. 1 is sub (e), monitoring of groundwater	14	
15	quality.	15	
16	This witness was produced because she is the	16	
17	person familiar with the processes for monitoring	17	
18	groundwater quality in Orange County. She was asked	18	
19	very few questions about what she actually does in	19	
20	this deposition. And I take it from your comments	20	
21	that you're not going to need to depose her again.	21	
22	There are other issues having to do with	22	•
23	regulation, protection, management, enhancement of	23	
24	groundwater quality for which other witnesses will be	24	
25	produced. And I suspect that many of the questions	25	
ļ			1.00
	Page 167		Page 169
1	that were asked of this witness today may be asked of	1	DEPONENT'S CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS
2	those witnesses.	2	Note: If you are adding to your testimony, print the
			-
3	But in terms of groundwater monitoring, this	3	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from
3 4	But in terms of groundwater monitoring, this witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that	3 4	
i		l	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from
4	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic.	4	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to
4 5	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness.	4 5	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this
4 5 6 7	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's	4 5 6	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form.
4 5 6 7	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now.	4 5 6 7	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD
4 5 6 7 8	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record.	4 5 6 7 8	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008
4 5 6 7 8 9	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m.	4 5 6 7 8 9	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness was the District's 30(b)(6) witness on that topic. And I have no questions for the witness. MR. HEARTNEY: All done. I think there's nothing more for us to do right now. So we can go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Off the record. The time is 3:08 p.m. (The deposition was concluded at 3:08 p.m.)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. DEPOSITION OF: MICHELLE BOYD CASE: OCWD VS. UNOCAL, ET AL. DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 8, 2008 PAGE LINE CHANGE/ADD/DELETE

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

Case 1:00-cv-01898-VSB-VF Document 3315-5 Filed 03/15/11 Page 5 of 41

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I certify that the witness in the foregoing 4 deposition. 5 MCHELLE BOYD. 6 was by me duly sworm to testify in the within-entitled 7 cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and 8 place therein named; that the testimony of said 9 witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand 10 Reporter of the State of California authorized to 11 administer ooths and affirmations, and said testimony, 12 pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into 13 typewriting. 14 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 14 attorney for either or any of the parties to said 16 deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome 17 of the cause named in said deposition. 18 IN WITNESS WIERERO, I, have hereunto set my hand 19 this 17th day of July, 2008. 20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certificate No. 3032		Page 170	
I certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition. MICHELLE BOYD, was by me duly swom to testify in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein named; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages 1 through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certificate No. 3032	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
deposition. MICHELLE BOYD, was by me duly sworn to testify in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein named; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032	2	•	·
MICHELLE BOYD, was by me duly swom to testify in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein named; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032	3	I certify that the witness in the foregoing	
was by me duly sworn to testify in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein named; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032	4		
7 cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and 8 place therein named; that the testimony of said 9 witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand 10 Reporter of the State of California authorized to 11 administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, 12 pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into 13 typewriting. 14 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 15 attorney for either or any of the parties to said 16 deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome 17 of the cause named in said deposition. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 19 this 17th day of July, 2008. 20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
place therein named; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this I7th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032			
witness was reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this I7th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032			
Reporter of the State of California authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages I through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032			
administer oaths and affirmations, and said testimony, pages 1 through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032			
pages 1 through 169, was thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032			
13 typewriting. 14 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 15 attorney for either or any of the parties to said 16 deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome 17 of the cause named in said deposition. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 19 this 17th day of July, 2008. 20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032			
14 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 15 attorney for either or any of the parties to said 16 deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome 17 of the cause named in said deposition. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 19 this 17th day of July, 2008. 20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032			
attorney for either or any of the parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032			
deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said deposition. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, 2008. 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032	15		
17 of the cause named in said deposition. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 19 this 17th day of July, 2008. 20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032 25			•
this 17th day of July, 2008. 20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032 25	17	of the cause named in said deposition.	•
20 21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032 25	18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
21 22 SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL 23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032 25	19	this 17th day of July, 2008.	
SANDRA BUNCH VANDERPOL Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 3032 25			
23 Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 Certificate No. 3032 25			
2 4 Certificate No. 3032 25		•	
25			
		Certificate No. 3032	
	23		
	,		
			,
			·
			· ·

EXHIBIT 3

Case 1:00-cv-01898-VSB-VF Document 3315-5 Filed 03/15/11 Page 7 of 41

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

Page 1208

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In Re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether :

("MTBE")

Products Liability Litigation

: Master File

: No. 1:00-1898

: MDL No. 1358 (SAS)

M21 - 88

This document relates to the

following case:

Orange County Water District v.

Unocal Corp., et al., 04 Civ. 4968 : VOLUME VI (SAS)

Pages 1208 - 1392

CONFIDENTIAL - (PER 2004 MDL 1358 ORDER).

FRIDAY, AUGUST 27, 2010

Videotaped Deposition of ROY L. HERNDON, Volume VI, Orange County Water District's 30(b)(6) designee in re Site Specific Station Investigations, held in the Law Offices of Latham & Watkins, 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, California beginning at 9:04 a.m.

Reported by: Sandra Bunch VanderPol, CSR #3032 Certified Realtime Reporter Registered Merit Reporter Realtime Systems Administrator credentialed Fellow, Academy of Professional Reporters

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 877.370.3377 ph|917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com

```
Page 1305
                                                                                                         Page 1307
 1
      Station No. 7-4283 as -- it appears to be another
                                                              1
                                                                   talked about earlier.
 2
      site within Plume 1 that is designated as one of the
                                                              2
                                                                         A. Sure.
 3
      ten. And I'm looking at a map listed as "Figure 1
                                                              3
                                                                         Q. Thank you.
 4
      Site Locations" that came right out of the Hargis
                                                              4
                                                                         Other than the work that you've testified to
 5
      Work Plan.
                                                                    this morning, did the District ask Hargis to do any
                                                              5
 6
           Q. And which work plan was that? Do you
                                                              6
                                                                    additional work with respect to the station at 9475
 7
      remember the date of that work plan?
                                                              7
                                                                    Warner Avenue?
 8
           A. I think this was the earlier in July
                                                              8
                                                                         A. Not that I know of. Not that I can
 9
      work plan. I think the only thing that changed was
                                                              9
                                                                    recall.
10
      just a subsequent addendum or amendment to the work
                                                             10
                                                                         Q. Has any other consultant been asked
11
      plan. I don't think it changed the body of the work
                                                             11
                                                                    to do any work since 2008 regarding the station at
                                                                    9475 Warner Avenue?
12
                                                             12
      plan.
13
           Q. Now, I know I asked you a moment ago
                                                             13
                                                                         A. No.
14
      what is in the binder, and we summarized a bunch of
                                                             14
                                                                         O.
                                                                             You testified back in 2008 -- and I'm
15
      categories of documents. I don't think excerpts from
                                                             15
                                                                    going to paraphrase. And if you feel that you don't
16
      the Hargis Work Plan were in your response.
                                                             16
                                                                    recall it that way, you know, please push back.
17
           So now I'm curious whether there are other
                                                             17
                                                                         A. Okay.
18
      things -- again, other things in the binder that were
                                                             18
                                                                         Q. But you testified back in your
19
      not included either in our discussion or counsel's
                                                             19
                                                                    deposition in 2008 that you were unable to state
20
      characterization.
                                                             20
                                                                    definitively that the MTBE that had been detected in
21
           A. Okay. I saw "Mr. Herndon will
                                                             21
                                                                    NB-TAMD was MTBE from the service station site at
22
      also" -- I'm looking at the August 24th, 2010 letter
                                                             22
                                                                    9475 Warner Avenue. Do you recall that testimony?
23
      to Mr. Heartney from Todd Schmidt, and it states:
                                                             23
                                                                              No, I don't recall that.
24
      "Mr. Herndon will also be reviewing the reports
                                                             24
                                                                         Q.
                                                                              Do you recall it differently?
25
      prepared by Hargis -- or by Komex and /or Hargis +
                                                             25
                                                                              No. I'm just saying I don't remember
                                           Page 1306
 1
      Associates that relate to the station."
                                                                    that. I have no reason to believe I didn't testify
                                                              1
 2
            So I guess I was thinking that was already
                                                              2
                                                                    to that general paraphrase. I just don't -- I just
 3
      included. But, anyway, either way.
                                                              3
                                                                    don't remember.
                 Okay. Now, I understood that to
                                                              4
                                                                         MS. O'REILLY: Where are you reading from?
 4
           Q.
      mean --
                                                              5
                                                                         MR. TEMKO: Well, I'm reading from, for
 5
 6
            A. No problem.
                                                              6
                                                                    example, page 3264.
 7
                                                              7
                                                                         MS. O'REILLY: Which date?
           Q. -- the site specific reports, like
                                                                         MR. TEMKO: Oh, I'm sorry. Which
 8
                                                              8
      Exhibit 98.
                                                              9
 9
           A. Oh, okay.
                                                                    deposition?
10
            Q. Is there anything else in the binder
                                                             10
                                                                         MS. O'REILLY: Yeah.
      other than what you've told me about?
11
                                                                         THE WITNESS: The deposition that
                                                             11
                                                             12
                                                                    Mr. Herndon gave on November 17, 2008.
12
            A. Let me make sure I haven't missed
13
      anything else.
                                                             13
                                                                         MS. O'REILLY: Okay.
14
            A table that is called "Bellwether Plume
                                                             14
                                                                         MR. TEMKO: And I will quote, just to orient
15
                                                             15
      List Comparison." I don't have a Bates number on it.
                                                                   you.,
16
      It's dated April 21st, 2009.
                                                             16
                                                                         THE WITNESS: Sure.
                                                             17
17
            And then I have a table that states, "Orange
                                                                         MR. TEMKO: Quote: "But I -- at this point
18
      County Water District versus Unocal Corp., et al.
                                                             18
                                                                    I cannot definitively say that the MTBE from the
19
      Plume List," and it's dated April 23rd, 2007.
                                                             19
                                                                    Texaco site has -- is the same as the MTBE that was
                                                             20
20
                                                                    detected. That type of investigation needs to be
            Q. Perhaps over the lunch break I will
21
      just ask to make copies of the --
                                                             21
                                                                    done," unquote.
22
                                                             22
                                                                         Q. And the only reason I'm going back
            A. Sure.
23
                                                             23
                                                                    here is to guard against Ms. O'Reilly saying, you
            Q. -- three pages that --
                                                             24
24
            A. You bet.
                                                                    know, you're supposed to be asking about what
25
                 -- seem to be beyond the list that we
                                                             25
                                                                    happened since 2008. Otherwise it would probably be
```

26 (Pages 1305 to 1308)

```
Page 1311
                                             Page 1309
                                                                           A. No, I am not aware of any new release
 1
       a little bit easier.
                                                                1
                                                                2
 2
            But I guess my question is: Is that still
                                                                     since June of 2008 or --
 3
                                                                3
       true today?
                                                                           Q.
                                                                                May.
 4
                                                                4
                                                                                -- May of 2000, yes.
            A. I would say the District staff has
                                                                           A.
                                                                5
 5
       not performed the type of fate and transport analysis
                                                                                Thank you.
 6
       that we talked about similar to the G & M No. 4 site,
                                                                6
                                                                           During the deposition in 2008 -- and,
       as with this site that we're talking about, to
                                                                7
                                                                     Counsel, I'm looking at testimony and questioning
                                                                8
 8
       determine whether the MTBE from this site, at 9475
                                                                     around pages 3278 to 3281 in the November 17th, 2008
 9
       Warner Avenue, is the source of the MTBE that was
                                                                9
                                                                     deposition of Mr. Herndon.
10
       detected at the NB-TAMD well.
                                                               10
                                                                           Back in your November 2008 deposition, I
\bar{1}1
                 So the answer to the question is,
                                                               11
                                                                      asked you about a feasibility study document that was
            Q.
.12
       "Yes"?
                                                               12
                                                                      in your binder that had been prepared by the
13
                I guess. Yes, we have not done that
                                                               13
                                                                     consultant working on this site, a company called
14
       analysis. I still can't make that determination.
                                                               14
                                                                      WGR. And in particular I referenced a statement in
                                                                     the WGR Feasibility Study document dated
15
                 And that's true of the other focus
                                                               15
16
       stations in Plume 1, correct? As you said, in 2008,
                                                               16
                                                                      February 20th, 2006, talking about some modeling that
17
                                                               17
                                                                     had been done by WGR. It basically -- again,
       quote, "I can't trace the contamination to a
18
       particular site," unquote.
                                                               18
                                                                      paraphrasing.
19
            Is that still true today?
                                                               19
                                                                           "The WGR modeling suggested that over the
20
                                                               20
                                                                     20-year period that the -- because the plume seemed
            A. As to the focus stations in Plume 1,
21
       that is correct.
                                                               21
                                                                      to be relatively static, that over the next 20 years,
                                                               22
22
            Q. You testified back in 2008 that you
                                                                      quote, it appears the closest production well
                                                               23
23
                                                                      receptor will not be impacted."
       were, quote, "Not aware of any information that would
                                                               24
                                                                           And I asked you at that point, quote, "Do
24
       indicate that -- that there's been a release,
                                                               25
25
       bracket, from the 9475 Warner station, closed
                                                                     you have any reason, as you sit here today, to
                                             Page 1310
                                                                                                            Page 1312
 1
                                                                      disagree with that statement in the WGR report from
       bracket, since May 6 of 2000."
                                                                1
 2
            Do you need that read back? I'm not trying
                                                                2
                                                                      February 2006?"
 3
                                                                3
                                                                           And you answered, in part, quote, "I would
       to confuse you.
 4
            A. No. You're just telling me what I
                                                                4
                                                                      need to spend a lot more time to be able to give you
 5
       testified to at that time.
                                                                5
                                                                      an answer to that, whether I would agree with this or
  6
            MS. O'REILLY: I can have him read it. I
                                                                 6
                                                                      disagree with this conclusion."
 7
       have it on my screen right here. You're at 3266?
                                                                7
                                                                           Since your deposition in 2008, have you or
                                                                8
 8
            MR. TEMKO: I am.
                                                                      the District taken any steps to consider whether the
 9
                                                                9
            MS. O'REILLY: Roy, do you understand the
                                                                      District agrees or disagrees with the statements made
10
       question?
                                                               10
                                                                      by WGR in the 2006 report?
11
                                                               11
            THE WITNESS: Well, it was more of a
                                                                           A. Well, the District has not undertaken
12
                                                               12
                                                                      the type of fate and transport analysis, I think,
       statement.
13
            MR. TEMKO: It was a statement setting up
                                                               13
                                                                      that would need to be done to -- to really be able to
14
       the following question.
                                                               14
                                                                      answer that question or draw a more definitive
15
            Q. Is that still true today?
                                                               15
                                                                      conclusion as to that -- a response to that statement
16
            A. Let me check my notes, if I may.
                                                               16
                                                                      in a WGR report.
17
                                                               17
       And, again, as -- as this morning, when you're saying
                                                                           Q. I asked you in November of 2008,
18
       "a release," do you mean a release of product, fuel
                                                               18
                                                                      quote, "As you sit here today, you can't say that
19
                                                               19
       essentially, to the soil from the facilities or are
                                                                      they are wrong?
20
       you talking about release of contamination moving on
                                                               20
                                                                           "ANSWER: I can't say they are wrong,"
21
       downgradient, which I want to make sure I'm clear on
                                                               21
                                                                      unquote.
22
       which release you might be referring to.
                                                               22
                                                                           Is that still your testimony today?
23
                                                               23
                                                                           A. Well, I think one of their comments
            Q. The question back in 2008 and today,
24
       both relate to the former, a release from the UST
                                                               24
                                                                      was that the plume is stable. And I guess the data I
25
                                                               25
                                                                      have seen since June of 2008 -- I saw changes in
       system at the site.
```

27 (Pages 1309 to 1312)

Page 1319 Page 1317 MS. O'REILLY: Same objection. 1 MS. O'REILLY: Vague. Ambiguous. 2 2 Go ahead. Overbroad. 3 THE WITNESS: Not that I know of. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I know as part of 4 BY MR. TEMKO: 4 Hargis's scope of work, when they prepared the 5 Summary Report, they did indicate an oil production 5 Q. Since June of 2008, has the District 6 well is located about 600 feet southeast of the site, 6 had any communications with anyone at the City of 7 7 and that there is the possibility that that well Fountain Valley regarding the remediation activities 8 8 at the 9475 Warner Avenue site? could provide a preferential pathway for 9 contamination. 9 MS. O'REILLY: Vague. Ambiguous. 10 10 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. So it appears as though that was part of 11 Hargis's scope of work to at least identify local 11 BY MR. TEMKO: 12 potential conduits. 12 O. Since June of 2008, has the District 13 13 had any communications with any other water producers BY MR. TEMKO: 14 Q. And just so the record is clear, 14 in the area, including the City of Newport Beach, 15 you're looking at the last bullet on the first page 15 regarding the remediation activities at the 9475 Warner Avenue site? 16 of Exhibit 98; is that correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 17 A. Not that I know of. 18 Q. Since December 23rd, 2008, which 18 MR. TEMKO: I think we're coming to the end 19 19 appears to be the date of this document, if we look of the tape. And this is a decent time to break. So 20 at the footer, has the District done anything to 20 let's go off the record. 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the approval of 21 investigate whether the oil production well referred 22 counsel, we are going off the record. The time is 22 to in the document was a potential preferential 23 approximately 12:11. 23 pathway for contaminant migration? 24 24 (Lunch recess taken at 12:11 p.m.) MS. O'REILLY: Vague. Ambiguous. 25 25 Overbroad. --000---Page 1318 Page 1320 1 THE WITNESS: Not that I know of. I don't 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 1:22 P.M. 2 2 believe so. --000---3 3 BY MR. TEMKO: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the approval of Q. Since June of 2008, has the District 4 counsel, we are back on the record. The time is had any communications with the Orange County Health 5 approximately 1:22. This is the beginning of tape Care Agency regarding remediation activities at 9475 6 No. 3. 7 Warner Avenue? BY MR. TEMKO: A. I'm not aware of any discussions 8 8 Q. Now I can really say good afternoon. between our agency and regulatory agencies. 9 We are still talking about the site at 9475 Warner 10 Q. Since June of 2008, has the District Avenue. And we're almost done. I have a couple of 10 11 had any communications with anyone at the Santa Ana 11 follow-up questions. 12 Regional Water Quality Control Board regarding the 12 Mr. Herndon, since June of 2008, has the remediation activities at 9475 Warner Avenue? 13 13 District discovered any evidence to indicate that 14 Not that I know of. 14 that the contaminant plume at 9475 Warner Avenue has A. 15 Q. Since June of 2008, has the District 15 commingled with the contaminant plume from any of the 16 had any communications with anyone at Shell 16 other focus stations in Focus Plume 1? concerning the remediation activities at 9475 Warner 17 17 MS. O'REILLY: I'm going to object to the 1.8 Avenue? 18 extent it calls for an expert opinion. Lacks 19 MS. O'REILLY: Vague. Ambiguous. 19 foundation. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. 20 Go ahead. 21 BY MR. TEMKO: 21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall seeing any data Q. Since June of 2008, has anyone at the 22 2.2 since June of 2008 that indicated commingling of MTBE 23 District had any communications with any of Shell's 23 of this station with another station. 24 remediation consultants regarding the remediation 24 BY MR. TEMKO: activities at that site? 25 Q. Mr. Herndon, since June of 2008, what

29 (Pages 1317 to 1320)

Case 1:00-cv-01898-VSB-VF Document 3315-5 Filed 03/15/11 Page 11 of 41

Confidential - Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order

	Page 1389			Pa	ge	1391
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DI	EPONENT		
2		2		, do		
3	I certify that the witness in the foregoing	3	hereby certify that I have read the foregoing pages, and that the same			
4 5	deposition. ROY HERNDON	4	is a correct transcription of the answ	ers		
6	was by me duly sworn to testify in the within-entitled	5	given by me to the questions therein propounded, except for the correction	7 7 0 7		
7	cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and	٦	changes in form or substance, if any	115 01		
8	place therein named; pages 1204 through 1386 of the	6	noted in the attached Errata Sheet.			
9	testimony of said witness were reported by me, a duly	7		,		
10	Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of	8	ROY L. HERNDON D	ATE	-	
11	California authorized to administer oaths and	9 10	•			
12	affirmations, and said testimony was thereafter	11				
13	transcribed into typewriting.	12 13				
14	I further certify that I am not of counsel or	14				
15	attorney for either or any of the parties to said	1.5	Subscribed and sworn			
16	deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome	15	to before me this day of, 20_			
17	of the cause named in said deposition.	16 -	•			
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	17	My commission expires:			
19	this 1st day of September, 2010.	18				
20		19 ⁻	Notary Public			
21 22		20				
23	SANDRA BUNCH VANDER POL, RMR, CRR	21				
24	Certified Shorthand Reporter	23				
25	Certificate No. 3032	24 25				
	Page 1390				ıge	1392
1		1	LAWYER'S NOTE		ıge	1392
	Page 1390 ERRATA	1 2	LAWYER'S NOTE PAGE LINE		.ge	1392
2	ERRATA	1 2 3			ige	1392
2 3		1 2 3 4		S		1392
2 3 4	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE	1 2 3 4 5	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5	ERRATA	1 2 3 4 5	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PAGE LINE	S		1392
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PAGE LINE	S		1392

47 (Pages 1389 to 1392)

Page 1663

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In Re: Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether :

Products Liability Litigation

: Master File

: No. 1:00-1898

: MDL No. 1358 (SAS)

: M21-88

This document relates to the

following case:

Orange County Water District v. Unocal Corp., et al., 04 Civ. 4968 : VOLUME VIII (SAS)

: Pages 1663-1893

CONFIDENTIAL - (PER 2004 MDL 1358 ORDER)

SEPTEMBER 1, 2010

Videotaped Deposition of ROY L. HERNDON, Volume VIII, Orange County Water District's 30(b)(6) Designee in Re Site Specific Station Investigations, held at 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, California, commencing at 9:49 a.m., on the above date, before Kimberly S. Thrall, a Registered Professional Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter.

> Golkow Technologies, Inc. 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com

3

7

9

Page 1676

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Has OCWD received the overall project
- site-specific health and safety plan with emergency
- information for five sites at this site?
- 5 A. No, we haven't.

6

7

- Q. Okay. Has work begun on the plan?
- A. I -- I'd be speculating. It very well may
- have. I just don't know. 8
- 9 Q. So Hargis -- if Hargis has started, they
- 10 haven't communicated that to the District?
- A. I would need to check with Mr. Bolin, who would 11
- 12 be able to confirm that. It wasn't communicated to me.
- but it doesn't mean it wasn't communicated to Mr. Bolin. 13
- 14 Q. Has Hargis completed the encroachment and well
- 15 installation permits process for this station?
- 16 A. I don't believe so.
- 17 Q. How about the traffic -- traffic control plan?
- 18 A. I -- I don't believe so.
- 19 O. Do you know if it started either of those two
- 20 initiatives?
- 21 A. I believe Mr. Bolin had indicated to me that
- 22 those activities have -- have started.
- Q. Okay. When did he communicate that to you? 23
- A. I think it was either earlier this week or late 24
- 25 last week.

10 stations.

- O. And he did that for -- for 1905?
- A. And I believe this was one of them.
- Q. And what did he -- let me just ask you this:
- 5 Are these locations now confirmed locations, or have any

Page 1678

Page 1679

- of them changed?
 - A. I'm not aware that they have changed.
- 8 Q. Did OCWD agree to start the CPT testing at
 - these locations?
- 10 A. The District approved the scope of work and
- 11 work plan by Hargis, and so the -- the scope has been
- approved. The question will be if a CPT can, in fact,
- be constructed at each of these locations or whether 13
- 14 issues such as utility clearance might require the
- location to be adjusted somewhat, because those issues 15
- 16 have -- are part of the -- the details that need to be
- completed before we can actually make sure can we put a
- 18 CPT at that -- at that spot.
- O. Okay. But as of this time, there are no plans 19
- 20 to change the locations unless circumstances require it?
- 21 A. If -- if there's a site-specific circumstance 22 that would cause us to have to adjust the location,
- that -- that is a possibility, but there's -- I'm not 23
- aware of any other overriding reasons why we would not 24
- proceed with the locations as generally shown on this

Page 1677

- Q. I'll try to figure out what's the best 2 orientation for this next exhibit. I will mark it --2
- 3 Exhibit 19 is --
 - MR. AXLINE: Counsel, do you mean 119 or 19?
- 5 MR. FINSTEN: I'm sorry. Exhibit 119. Thank
- 6 you, sir.

4

11

- 7 (Herndon Exhibit 119 was marked.)
- 8 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 9 Q. Exhibit 119 is a Hargis map for ARCO 1905 dated
- July 2010. It is Bates-marked OCWD-MTBE-001-418781. 10
 - You've seen this map before, Mr. Herndon?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. And there are seven conceptual CPT/HydroPunch
- 14 locations for dissection purposes only on this map, is
- 15 that correct? Those are the squares.
- 16 A. That's what is indicated on this map, yes.
- Q. Okay. Have there been any discussions between 17
- 18 OCWD and Hargis regarding the locations of the
- 19 CPT/HydroPunch locations?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And when did those discussions take place?
- A. It was subsequent to the July work plan 22
- 23 submittal by Hargis to the District, that we met with
- them and Chris Ross from Hargis, and he walked us 24
- 25 through the proposed CPT locations for 10 --

- map.
- Q. Have there been any discussions between Orange
- 3 County Water District and the Santa Ana Regional Water
- Quality Control Board about the District's plans to
- 5 conduct CPT and HydroPunch sampling at this site?
- 6 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 7 Q. How about the Orange County Health Care Agency?
- 8 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Have there been any other communications since
- 2008 between OCWD and the Regional Board or Health Care
- 11 Agency regarding this site?
- 12 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. Now, looking at the map that is 13
- 14 Exhibit 19, there are --
- 15
- 16 Q. 119. Thank you for correcting me. And I'll
- probably keep doing that. When I call you Mr. Bolin,
- just reach across and slap me. 18
- 19 Now looking at the map, there are three CPT
- 20 locations to the south of the ARCO station and four to
- the north of the station. Do you see that? 21
- 22
- 23 Q. And then of the four that are to the north of
- 24 the station, there are three that are actually northeast
- 25 of the station apparently in Talbert Avenue, in the

5 (Pages 1676 to 1679)

Page 1680

road, that that's where the plan is to do that; is that correct?

- A. It's -- it looks like three of the C -- of the proposed CPTs are -- it looks like they're either in the road or potentially in the sidewalk. But I think the goal in general is to have as many of the CPTs in public rights-of-way, whether it's the road or the sidewalk, as possible.
- 9 Q. And we're talking now about what's labeled 10 A-1905A, A-1905B, and A-1905C, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

12 Q. And so the idea there is to do them in public 13 right-of-ways.

14 And I may have asked you this already, but the 15 permits and traffic-control issues we think are under 16 way for those locations?

- 17 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Now, there's four CPT and HydroPunch locations 18
- 19 that are apparently on private property, one to the
- 20 north of the Station A-1905D that's across Talbert
- 21 Avenue?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And then 1905E, 1905F and 1905G, which all
- 24 appear to be not in public right-of-ways; is that
- 25 correct?

- A. Based on this map, it -- it appears as though 2 they're -- they -- they appear to be just outside -- or certainly A-1905E and A-1905G clearly appear to be outside public rights-of-way. A-1905F looks to be maybe 5 just west of Magnolia Street, at least as shown on this 6 map.
- 7 Q. Okay. Has the District or Hargis taken any steps to gain access to this private property such as 9 pursuing easements or eminent domain?
- 10 A. My understanding is that -- is that those 11 efforts are -- have been initiated. They're -- they're 12 in progress.
- 13 Q. Has Hargis contacted the owners of the private 14 property?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Do you know what has been done to initiate the 17 process?
- 18 A. I believe -- I recall that the property
- 19 ownership was -- was being or had been researched to
- 20 identify the property owners.
- 21 Q. But do you know if Hargis or the District has 22 reached out to the owners or even established who the
- 23 owners are?
- 24 A. I don't know if they've reached out to the
- 25 owner -- to the owners. I don't know if the ownership

Page 1682

- has been identified in -- in all of these cases or -- or any of these cases.
- 3 O. Once the owners are identified, what are the District's plans to gain access? 4
- 5 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 6 Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know specifically 7 what is -- what is going to be done. I can -- in

- 9 general, our -- my experience is that in these cases, we
- 10 would try to contact the property owner and -- and make
- 11 a straightforward simple request to -- to allow us to
- 12 have access on their property.
- 13 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 14 Q. I am going to pass you a copy of what has previously been marked as Exhibit 2 in this deposition. 15
- 16 I'm not going to mark it again. This is the
- 17 supplemental declaration of David Bolin in support of
- 18 Plaintiff Orange County Water District's Response to
- Defendants' Further Supplemental Memorandum, Re:
- 20 Summary Judgment Motion on Statute of Limitations. It
- 21 was filed on June 3rd, 2009.
- 2.2 And I would ask you to look at paragraph 26 of
- 23 Exhibit 2, which discusses this plume -- this station,
 - 1905, and I'd like you to go about six lines down into
- 25 the paragraph, and there's a sentence that reads:

Page 1681

"Groundwater contour maps demonstrate that the shallow

Page 1683

groundwater flow is principally to the southeast at this 3 station."

4 Do you see that?

A. Yes.

5 6 Q. Do you agree with Mr. Bolin's statement here?

7 MR. AXLINE: I'm going to object for the record

that this document refers, at the point following the sentence you just read, to Exhibit 9, and the document 9

- is incomplete. It doesn't have Exhibit 9 attached to 10
- 11 it.
- 12 MR. FINSTEN: That is correct. I did not mark 13 the exhibits. They're rather thick. I will represent
- 14 that it is a contour -- a groundwater contour map from
- the ARCO consultant at this station, but if you want, I
- 16 can probably find another source. Let me rephrase this and back up a second. 17
- 18 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 19 Q. In preparing for the deposition, did you come
- 20 across any documents that showed the directional flow of 21 the groundwater at the site?
- 22
- A. Yes, I did. 23
 - Q. And do you remember what those documents were?
- 24 A. I believe one is the Hargis report on that
- 25 site.

6 (Pages 1680 to 1683)

7

8

9

12

13

Page 1684

Q. That would actually be what I would refer to probably. So why don't we just mark that real quick and 3 go to it. That would be Exhibit 120.

(Herndon Exhibit 120 was marked.)

BY MR. FINSTEN:

Q. And Exhibit 120 is the Hargis & Associates site summary for ARCO 1905. It is labeled Global ID No.

8 T0605900033, and it is Bates-marked OCWD-MTBE-001-418086

through -133. 9

5

6

7

16

10 And if you turn to page 3 of the document, it 11 reads, the third paragraph, "The direction of

groundwater flow in the upper zone of the semi-perched

aquifer at the site is generally towards the southeast

during times when the soil vapor extraction, dual phase 14

extraction or remediation system were not in operation." 15

MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes the 17 document. You did not read that full sentence.

18 MR. FINSTEN: I was going to ask if I read it

19 correctly. So if I didn't, please correct -- what I'm

20 leaving out?

21 MR. AXLINE: I believe you left out the word 22

"generally" when you said, "Towards the southeast."

23 MR. FINSTEN: Oh. Well, thank you for the correction.

24 25 ///

6

7

16

Page 1685

BY MR. FINSTEN:

2 Q. "The semi-perched aquifer at the site was 3 generally towards the southeast during times when the soil vapor extraction, dual phase extraction or

5 remediation system were not in operation."

Did I read it correctly?

A. I think you got it that time.

8 Q. Do you agree that this statement is accurate? 9

A. I -- I don't disagree with this sentence.

10 Q. Okay. And the sentence above that, actually, I

did skip. "Regional data from OCWD WRMS indicate the 11

direction of groundwater flow in the Talbert Aquifer

13 beneath the site is toward the south."

14 Did I read that correctly?

15

Q. Do you agree with that statement?

17 A. I -- I don't disagree with it. Yes, I think

it -- it's accurate. 18

19 Q. Okay. Now, looking back at what had been

20 marked as Exhibit 119, the map, why does the District

feel -- the District or Hargis, I should say, feel the

need to do cone penetration testing and HydroPunch

23 testing at this particular station?

24 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for

25 speculation.

Page 1686

THE WITNESS: I would say my understanding

is -- is because MTBE has not been contained at this site and has escaped the remediation. And the District

wants to know more about the delineation and the extent

5 of -- of the MTBE that has escaped.

6 BY MR. FINSTEN:

Q. Now, there are three proposed CPT locations to the south and the southeast, A-1905E, F, and G.

Do you agree?

10 A. I agree that those proposed locations are south 11 and slightly southeast of the site.

O. So those would be downgradient from the potential contamination source, correct?

14 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes prior 15 testimony.

16 THE WITNESS: They are -- as was indicated,

17 the -- in the semi-perched aquifer, Hargis indicates

that the flow is generally towards the southeast.

However, has varied at times. So at times when the flow

20 is to the southeast, again, generally, these wells could

21 be considered downgradient.

22 But then as you go down into the -- the deeper

23 aquifer, which I guess has been referred to as the

deeper A zone by Hargis, the flow -- and I guess --

they're calling it deeper A zone. I think somewhere

Page 1687

else in the report, Hargis indicates that the deeper A zone may correlate with the Talbert Aquifer, so --

3 BY MR. FINSTEN:

Q. So they indeed say that --

5 A. Yeah.

6 Q. -- in the prior paragraph?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. So the upper semi-perched zone -- I didn't mean

9 to interrupt you --

10 A. Sure.

11 Q. -- but just for clarification point, the upper

semi-perched zone correlates with the upper portion of

the regional semi-perched aquifer, and the deeper A zone

may correlate with the regional Talbert Aquifer? 14

15 A. I see that.

O. Do you see that?

17 MR. AXLINE: Counsel, do you mind specifying

18 for the record where you are reading?

19 MR. FINSTEN: I am reading from page 3 of the 20 Hargis site summary, Exhibit 120, the middle paragraph.

21 MR. AXLINE: Thank you.

22 THE WITNESS: So -- so in the Talbert Aquifer,

or if we also want to call it the deeper A zone, then 23

24 Hargis indicates that the direction of groundwater flow

25 in that vicinity is -- is towards the south. So, again,

7 (Pages 1684 to 1687)

16

Page 1688

- those monitoring wells -- or those proposed CPT
- 2 locations would appear to be generally downgradient from
- 3 the site.

5

8

- 4 BY MR. FINSTEN:
 - Q. Now, there are four other CPT locations associated with this site, but they are all to the north or northeast of the station; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Why is the District seeking to conduct CPT and 10 HydroPunch testing at those locations?
- MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for 12 speculation.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sure Hargis described -- described this to us when we met. I recall having
- 15 detailed explanations from them on their rationale for
- 16 the locations proposed. As we sit here right now, I --
- 17 I will be a residence as to reduce the residence and form
- 17 I would be speculating as to why there are four
- 18 locations proposed to the north or northeast of the
- 19 site.
- 20 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- Q. Now, three of those locations are along the
- 22 northern edge or perhaps the sidewalk, as we discussed,
- 23 along Talbert Avenue, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 O. All right. And there is another service

Page 1689

- station with monitoring wells to the north -- on the northeast corner of the intersection.
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. So my next question would be if the
- CPT/HydroPunching does result in findings of MTBE
- 7 contamination, how can the District or Hargis be certain
- 8 that that contamination originates from the ARCO
- 9 station?
- MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for 11 speculation.
- 12 THE WITNESS: It -- if MTBE is found in any of
- 13 those northerly or northeasterly CPT locations, it would
- 14 remain to be seen whether that information would be
- 15 definitive as to the source of the MTBE, and it may
- 16 warrant additional investigation before Hargis could
- 17 draw a conclusion.
- 18 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 19 Q. Could you explain that a little bit?
- 20 MR. AXLINE: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: Well, the proposed CPT locations
- 22 are the first round of CPTs of potentially a few lines
- 23 or -- or series of locations of CPTs that are in the
- 24 Hargis work plans. So additional CPT locations are
- 25 planned, if needed, based on the results of the first

round.

2 After that, it is contemplated that monitoring

wells would be constructed to further refine the

4 information from the CPTs. And if monitoring wells are

5 constructed, then they would allow further information

6 in terms of gradient determination, and that information

7 could be used to help evaluate the source of this -- of

- 8 the MTBE if it's found in those four
- 9 northerly/northeasterly CPTs.
- 10 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- Q. So if monitoring wells were constructed and
- 12 they determined that those CPT tests are actually
- 13 upgradient from the ARCO station, that would indicate
- 14 that ARCO wouldn't have been the source of the MTBE
- 15 there?
- 16 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 17 testimony. Vague. Calls for speculation.
- THE WITNESS: The monitoring wells would
- 19 provide further information as to the gradient both in
- 20 the semi-perched zone and the deeper A zone or Talbert
- 21 Aquifer, and that may change possibly the existing
- interpretation of the gradient in that -- in that area,because it will be providing additional information that
- 2.5 because it will be providing additional information that
- 24 may change whether they are upgradient or downgradient
- 25 of the ARCO 1905 site.

Page 1691

Page 1690

- BY MR. FINSTEN:
- Q. Are there any circumstances where -- let me rephrase that.
- Under what circumstances would the results of the CPT testing indicate that there would be no need for
- 6 further CPT testing at the site?
- 7 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for 8 speculation.
- speculation.

 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that -- and I
- 9 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that -- an 10 can't remember if it was -- I don't remember that we --
- 11 that it wasn't the same for all the sites, but that if
- 12 the first round of CPT testing did not identify any MTBE
- 13 in any of the CPTs or potentially -- you know, one
- 14 follow-up option that we may employ that's part of the
- 15 scope is to do infill CPTs, where we would potentially
- 16 install CPTs in between some of the first round of CPTs.
- And so that is something that could very likely
- be done if we -- even if we don't find MTBE or even ifwe do find MTBE in some of these locations, Hargis may
- 20 recommend installing more closely spaced CPTs in between
- 21 the ones that we see on this map.
- 22 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- Q. Okay. Are there any circumstances where
- 24 there -- where Hargis could conclude that no further CPT
- 25 testing would be necessary at the site?

8 (Pages 1688 to 1691)

Page 1692 Page 1694 1 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for 1 MR. AXLINE: No. That's -- go ahead. 2 BY MR. FINSTEN: 2 speculation. THE WITNESS: Well, one, I don't think Hargis Q. Has anyone from the District or Hargis reviewed 4 would be in a position to make that final conclusion. I 4 documents more recently than May 22nd, 2008? 5 think it would be up to the District to decide. They 5 6 may make a recommendation, but I think it ultimately O. And who has done that? 7 7 would be up to the District to decide whether it agrees A. I did. or doesn't agree with recommendations from Hargis. 8 Q. And you did that in preparation for the 8 9 BY MR. FINSTEN: 9 deposition? 10 O. Are there any circumstances where the District 10 A. Yes. 11 would conclude that no further CPT testing would be 11 Q. Had anybody -- prior to preparing for the 12 necessary at the site? 12 deposition, had anybody from the District or Hargis reviewed documents relating to this station that had 13 MR. AXLINE: Same objection. 13 14 THE WITNESS: As we state here right now, I --14 been created since May 22nd, 2008? 15 I don't see any particular circumstances that would 15 A. Just to make sure I'm clear, did -- are you 16 cause us to not do any additional CPT testing after asking if Hargis reviewed any documents subsequent to 16 17 these initial CPTs are constructed. May 22nd, 2008? Q. Hargis or the District. 18 BY MR. FINSTEN: 18 19 Q. So if there's no MTBE found in any of the CPT 19 A. Or the District? 20 borings, the District will then -- it is likely to --20 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Partially asked and 21 will have Hargis do infill testing. What if there's 21 answered. still no CPT -- MTBE found in the CPT testing? Is there 22 MR. FINSTEN: I -a point where the District would plan on stopping this? 23 MR. AXLINE: Maybe you could -- maybe you could 23 24 MR. AXLINE: Same objection. Vague. Calls for 24 limit it to -- do it one bite at a time, Counsel. 25 speculation. 25 MR. FINSTEN: Okay. Sure. Page 1693 Page 1695 THE WITNESS: Infill testing is one follow-up BY MR. FINSTEN: 2 2 action that is an option for the District. Another Q. Limited to the District. 3 3 follow-up option is stepping outward or in a different A. And I -- and I answered, yes, I have reviewed direction to make sure that we have not missed the 4 documents --5 escape of MTBE. 5 Q. Right. 6 BY MR. FINSTEN: 6 A. -- since that date. Q. And we've already marked the Hargis site Q. Setting aside preparation for this deposition, summary, Exhibit 120. If we could flip to that. has anybody at the District reviewed documents relating Hargis has done work relating to the station 9 to this station since two thousand -- since May 22nd, 10 prior to including it in the July work plan in the form 2008? This is a little confusing. And I apologize. I 11 of this site summary, correct? 11 said that I was going to be as clear as possible about 12 A. That's correct. 12 the dates, and that we had a deposition in --Q. Now, looking at the site summary, it says on 13 13 December 1st. the first page, "Latest document reviewed May 22nd, And just to clarify, this -- well, let's 15 establish one -- one thing before that. This document 15 2008." 16 is dated February 20th, 2009 on the bottom left. Do you 16 Do you see that? 17 17 see that in the footer? Q. Now, the documents that were reviewed by Hargis 18 A. I see that. It appears to be a date notation. 18 Q. Yes. Do you know when the District received in preparation of this report were either publicly 19 20 this document from Hargis? available GeoTracker reports for the station or documents produced by the parties in this litigation, 21 A. No, I don't. 22 Q. It would be sometime subsequent to 22 correct? 23 February 20th, 2009? 23 A. That would be my understanding.

9 (Pages 1692 to 1695)

That -- that is my understanding.

When did you first review this document?

24

25

MR. FINSTEN: Sorry. Did you have an objection

24

25 to make?

Page 1696 Page 1698 A. In -- within the last week. 1 Q. What sort of guidance did Orange County Water O. Do you know who at the District received this District give Hargis about this station in preparation document when it was sent from Hargis? 3 of the site summary? A. I believe David Bolin. MR. AXLINE: Objection. Lacks foundation. 4 5 Q. Okay. And do you know when Mr. Bolin received 5 Assumes facts. Vague. 6 it? 6 THE WITNESS: I believe it would have been --7 A. No, I don't. the details of -- of their scope of work would have been Q. It would be somewhere in the neighborhood of stated in their -- in their proposal to us for that --February of 2009? 9 for that work that they were proposing on. I just --10 A. I don't know that. 10 I'd have to refer to that to see specifically what --11 Q. All right. Now, going back to what I was 11 what they proposed and what the District approved in 12 originally asking about. This document -- the first 12 terms of a -- a -- a scope of work. page says, "The latest document reviewed by Hargis" --13 BY MR. FINSTEN: 13 14 well, it says, "The latest document reviewed is 14 Q. Let me see if I can ask it in a little 15 May 22nd, 2008." 15 different way. Did the District give Hargis any 16 Now, I take that to mean that in preparing this 16 instructions about identifying potential pathways to 17 document, Hargis reviewed -- the last document dated -the -- to wells in the station's focus plume, for 18 I can't say this properly. Strike that. 18 instance? 19 Hargis did not review any documents that were 19 A. I believe that was -- that issue was covered created after May 22nd, 2008 in preparing this site 20 in -- in this -- in this document. summary. That's -- is that your understanding as well? 21 O. Okav. 22 A. Based on what they state here, I -- I don't 22 A. So it appears to be it was part of their scope 23 23 of work. have any reason to disagree with that. O. Okay. So to try to focus the question here in 24 24 Q. Did the District give Hargis any guidance about 25 an appropriate way, aside from your review of documents 25 what they were supposed to do in creating this document? Page 1697 Page 1699 1 relating to the station in preparation for the Is really what I'm trying to get at. deposition, had anybody at the Water District reviewed 2 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Asked and answered. 3 documents relating to this station created after 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think I -- I answered May 22nd, 2008? 4 that question. 4 5 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for 5 BY MR. FINSTEN: Q. Okay. What about did the District give any 6 speculation. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Other than the Hargis report guidance to Hargis or instructions to Hargis about looking for commingling with other stations relating to itself, which was created after May 22nd, 2008 and -and I have reviewed documents as I've -- the third time ARCO 1905? 9 9 10 I think I've said, I -- I have reviewed documents that 10 A. I don't recall if that specific objective was 11 have been created since May 22nd, 2008 relating to this 11 stated individually, but that certainly to me would have 12 site. Oh, you said other than for the preparation of 12 been within their scope of work to evaluate that 13 this deposition. David Bolin may have, but I don't 13 possibility. 14 know. 14 Q. Now, you mentioned that you had reviewed the 15 BY MR. FINSTEN: 15 site summary within the last week, and that Mr. Bolin, 16 O. Did he make any effort to communicate whether you believe, was the one that received the document when 17 he reviewed documents? 17 it was sent from Hargis. Was it ever discussed -- "it" being 18 A. I don't recall him mentioning that, if he did 19 or not. 19 Exhibit 120 -- at any meetings within Orange County 20 Water District? 20 Q. How about Hargis? Do you know if anybody at 21 Hargis had reviewed documents subsequent to May 22nd --21 A. I don't recall having discussions about this 22 documents created subsequent to May 22nd, 2008 relating 22 particular Hargis report. O. Do you know if Mr. Bolin discussed it with 23 to this station? 24 A. It's possible they did for preparation of the 24 anybody else at the Water District? 25 work plan, but I don't know if they did or not. A. Not that I know of.

10 (Pages 1696 to 1699)

Page 1700 Page 1702 O. And aside from commissioning and receiving this as wells impacted by the sites in Focus Plume 3. report, has the District taken any other steps to 2 Q. Okay. Just to clarify, I want to show you what investigate or remediate MTBE contamination at this 3 has previously been marked as Exhibit 7. And this is -station since 2008? 4 I'm not going to mark it again. It's not labeled as A. Yes. 5 Exhibit 7, but it's a -- it is a copy of the Plaintiff Q. And what would those steps have been? 6 Orange County Water District's motion to amend the A. We -- we commissioned Hargis to evaluate the 7 bellwether plume designation. It is -- was filed on 8 stations -- a number of stations, including this one, April 22nd, 2009. And I have -- just to be clear about for potential future investigation and -- and, in fact, 9 what you're looking at, this is the motion letter to Hargis did make a recommendation and developed at least 10 Judge Scheindlin. And I included only one exhibit, an initial scope of work to -- to do CPT work or CPT 11 which was Exhibit 2, which is the back page of this, and investigation at -- at this station. 12 that lists the bellwether plume list comparison that was Q. And I already asked you about communications 13 proposed. 13 with the Regional Board and the Health Care Agency. 14 And if you look at the back page for Plume 15 No. 3, we see OCWD M-10, M-11, M-45, and then no changes 15 Has the District contacted any other local, state or federal regulator about this station since 16 proposed. The only thing I would caution is that the 16 final column here, final bellwether plume well 17 2008? 17 18 designations, was proposed and not ordered by the Court. Not that I'm aware of. 19 How about, has the District made any public 19 The Court ordered something different. 20 statements relating to this station since 2008? 20 But at least as of the time of this motion, A. Not specific to this station that I'm aware of. 21 there were no changes; these were the only three wells O. What else has the District done with this 22 that were designated as part of this focus plume, 22 report, Exhibit 120, since receiving it? 23 correct? 23 24 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. MR. AXLINE: I'm going to object to that as <u>25</u> THE WITNESS: Other than what we've talked 25 vague. Compound. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls Page 1701 Page 1703 about, I can't think of anything. for speculation as to what occurred with this document 2 BY MR. FINSTEN: and with the Court. So maybe, Counsel, you -- if Q. Okay. Let's -- you can flip back to the 3 3 there's a question here, you could phrase it in the form 4 notice. I just want to confirm that this station is --4 of a question? 5 and, in fact, I believe all of these stations are in 5 MR. FINSTEN: Okay. 6 Focus Plume 3, and the current wells in Focus Plume 3 6 BY MR. FINSTEN: are OCWD M-10, OCWD M-11, and OCWD M-45, and no others, 7 7 Q. OCWD M-10, M-11, and M-45 were the only three 8 wells in this focus plume, correct? correct? 8 9 MR. AXLINE: Object. It misstates prior 9 Before -- I referred you to that for 10 convenience. There's another exhibit that I can show 10 testimony. The witness has been distinguishing between you which should confirm that if you -- because that's wells present and wells designated. The exhibit that 12 just my own representations. you're referring him to says final bellwether plume well 12

13 A. Sure. I guess -- you said there were no other 14 wells in the focus plume. I -- I guess I would clarify 15 that I believe those three wells that you mentioned 16 are -- were designated wells or wells designated by 17 OCWD. 18 I'm sorry. I thought I turned this off. So I -- I don't know that these are the --19

20 those were the only wells in Focus Plume 3, but they

21 were the designated wells for Focus Plume 3.

O. Could you explain the difference? 22

23 A. Well, there may be other wells such as

24 production wells in -- that are within Focus Plume 3,

25 but they aren't necessarily wells that were designated

designations. You're now repeating the question without 13

14 acknowledging the witness' distinction.

15 MR. FINSTEN: Okav.

16 BY MR. FINSTEN:

17 Q. What other wells besides these three wells are 18 in the focus plume?

A. And not to be cute or anything, obviously there 19

20 are a number of wells in focus plume. There are

21 monitoring wells constructed by the various stations.

So there's all those wells that are wells. They're in 22

the plume. But there are also production wells within 23

24 Focus Plume 3.

25 I am referring to a Plume 3 location map, which

11 (Pages 1700 to 1703)

5

6

7

12

Page 1704

- 1 has I think been produced in the past, and I see some
- 2 Newport Beach production wells. I see some Mesa
- 3 Consolidated production wells. I see a couple of
- 4 Fountain Valley production wells, and I see at least
- another monitoring well that are within the focus plume.
- 6 Q. So the focus plume is a geographic area on the
- 7 surface that contains all of the wells within it?
- A. I think the focus plume description and
- 9 definition have been covered in prior depositions, but
- 10 the focus plume map I'm referring to shows a geographic
- 11 area, and it does -- at least this particular map shows
- 12 the wells that I mentioned fall within that geographic
- 13 area.
- 14 Q. None of those wells are designated by the
- 15 District as part of this focus plume, correct?
- A. The only designated wells are the Wells M-10, 16
- 17 M-11, and M-45.
- 18 Q. You mentioned some Newport Beach, Fountain
- 19 Valley and Mesa Consolidated wells that are allegedly in
- 20 the plume?
- 21 A. They are within the geographic area of this
- 22 focus -- of Focus Plume 3, yes.
- 23 Q. And which wells are you referring to?
- 24 A. I see NB-DALS, NB-DALD, FV-4, FV-10, MCWD-5,
- 25 MCWD-7. It looks like MCWD-3B may be right on the edge.

Page 1706

Page 1707

- 1 MR. AXLINE: I'm going to object to that as
- 2 vague.
- 3. THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want it read back?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Sure.
 - (The following record was read by the reporter:
 - "Q. Since 2008, has the District
 - determined that MTBE from the station has
- 8 impacted or threatened any of these three
- 9 designated focus wells, OCWD M-10, M-11, and
- 10 M-45?")
- MR. AXLINE: Same objection. 11
 - THE WITNESS: I believe the District had made
- 13 that conclusion prior to 2008, and I don't think
- anything subsequent to 2008 has changed that.
- BY MR. FINSTEN: 15
- 16 O. What has the District based its determination 17 on?
- 18 MR. AXLINE: I'm going to object to that as
- outside the scope of the notice and the order from 19
- 20 Special Master Warner. The witness just testified that
- the determination was made before 2008. And I'm not
- going to allow you to question the witness with respect
- 23 to that determination prior to 2008.
- 24 BY MR. FINSTEN:
 - Q. Has the District uncovered any information

- since 2008 specifically that supports or confirms its
 - determination that MTBE from 1905 had affected or had
 - 3 impacted or threatened these three focus wells?
 - A. I believe the Hargis report that the District
 - received subsequent to 2008 supports that District
 - determination.
 - Q. Okay. Well, let's take a look at the Hargis
 - report again. The Hargis site summary mentions OCWD
 - M-10 on the first page, the last bullet point on the
 - first page. "Regional Monitoring Well M-10 located
 - approximately one hundred" -- "1.160 feet south of the
 - site. It was installed in 1967 and is screened between

 - 80 and 305 feet below ground surface in the Talbert,
 - 14 Beta, Lambda and Omicron Aquifers with unknown sanitary
 - 15 seal status."
 - 16 Did I read that correctly?
 - A. I believe so. 1.7
 - 18 Q. Okay. And aside from that mention of M-10,
 - 19 which again is identified in the -- on Bates
 - 20 page 418099, what is the indication that -- or what is
 - 21 the -- what are you referring to, I guess, from the
 - 22 report other than mentioning those -- other than the
 - 23 identification of that well on those two instances?
 - 24 A. Well, I guess I was thinking maybe a little
 - 25 more broadly, but the report identifies a number of

Page 1705

- And I also see -- well, those are the production wells
- 2 that I -- that I see that appear to fall within the --
- 3 the Focus Plume No. 3.
- 4 Q. Okay. Just stopping with MCWD-5, MCWD-7 and
- 5 MCWD-3B, those were designated by the District as part
- 6 of a different focus plume, correct?
- 7 A. I believe they were designated as part of a --
- I think we have the --8
- 9 Q. Focus Plume 2.
- 10 A. Focus Plume 2, correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And the Newport Beach and Fountain
- 12 Valley Beach -- Fountain Valley wells that you just
- identified, those are not designated as part of this
- plume, correct? 14
- A. That's correct. 15
- Q. Okay. All right. I'll move on. 16
- 17 Since 2008, has the District determined that
- 18 MTBE from the station has impacted or threatens any of
- these three designated focus wells, MC -- OCWD M-10, 19
- 20 M-11, and M-45?
- 21 MR. AXLINE: Was your -- sorry, Counsel. Just
- 22 to clarify, was your question since 2008? MR. FINSTEN: Yes. 23
- 24 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- Q. Do you want it read back? 25

12 (Pages 1704 to 1707)

Page 1708

issues such as plumes -- MTBE plumes not being fully

investigated, including vertical extent, the lateral

- extent, no hydraulic containment. That that basically
- indicates that plumes have escaped remediation and,
- therefore, are likely sources of the MTBE that was found
- 6 in -- in the M-10, M-11, and M-45.
- 7 Q. I guess my specific question was: What is the
- basis for the District's determination that that
- contamination specifically from this station impacted or 9
- 10 threatened these three production wells?
- 11 MR. AXLINE: Okay. And that's the question 12 that I objected to on the grounds that it had been the
- subject of the prior deposition, so --13
- 14 MR. FINSTEN: And I clarified the question to
- 15 say since 2008, what has the District learned about --
- to support that determination, and he pointed me towards
- 17 this. So I want to know what's in this that
- 18 specifically supports the District's contention
- 19 regarding this station.
- MR. AXLINE: Okay. And if that's the question, 20
- 21 I'm going to object that it's been asked and answered.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I think I -- I answered that. I
- mentioned that I mentioned some of the findings in the
- 24 report that support our -- our --
- 25 ///

80 pages long. You're asking the witness to search the

- documents for references to wells, and I'm not going to
- have the witness do that in front of the camera.
 - MR. FINSTEN: All right. We can go off the
- 5 record. If you want to go off the record, that's fine.
- I mean, I just thought it would save time. I didn't
- mean to be rude. You can go off the record.
- 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the approval of
- counsel, we are going off the record. The time is
- approximately 10:53.
- $\overline{11}$ (Brief recess.)
- 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the approval of
- 13 counsel, we are being back on the record. The time is
- approximately 10:54.
- 15 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 16 Q. Mr. Herndon, were you able to identify any
- 17 discussion of OCWD M-11 or M-45 in the Hargis site
- 18 summary?
- A. I was not able to find any narrative discussion 19
- 20 on those two monitoring wells in this Hargis report.
- 21 Q. Looking at page 2, they do -- there are --
- 22 there is some discussion of production wells NB-TAMS and
- TAMD in the bullet point in the middle of the paragraph
- 24 there, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 1709

Page 1711

Page 1710

- BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 2 Q. There's no mention --
- 3 A. -- feeling.
- Q. There's no mention of MC -- OCWD M-11 in this
- report, correct?
- A. I would have to refer to the whole report to
- see if there's no mention of -- of OCWD M-11 anywhere
- in -- in this whole report.
- 9 Q. Or M-45?
- $\overline{10}$ A. Of -- or M-45.
- 11 Why don't you go ahead and take a minute.
- 12 MR. AXLINE: Should we do that off the record,
- 13 Counsel?
- 14 MR. FINSTEN: How long will it take?
- 15 MR. AXLINE: Well, I don't know. It's a
- 16 lengthy document.
- THE WITNESS: Well, I -- I found a figure,
- Bates No. 418120, that shows a location map, and I see
- 19 M-11 on that -- on that map. I have to spend a little
- 20 bit more time to see if M-45 is on it, but I --
- BY MR. FINSTEN:
- O. I see it's on there, but there's no discussion
- 23 of either of these two wells in the document, is there?
- $\frac{1}{24}$ MR. AXLINE: Okay. For the record, I'm going
- to note that this document is some. I don't know, 75 or

- Q. And those are part of a different -- those have
- been designated as part of a different focus plume,
- correct, Focus Plume 1?
 - A. I -- I -- believe so. I know at least one of
- those has been designated as part of Focus Plume 1.
- Actually, as I look at the exhibit --
- 7 O. Exhibit 7?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And I would just again caution you that that
- 10 last column was proposed by the District, not accepted
- by the Court.
- A. Okav. 12
- 13 Q. But -- you know, but I -- that being said, I'm
- 14 fairly certain that we did not object to TAMS being
- added to that focus plume. 15
 - A. Okay. I -- just so you know, I -- this -- this
- 17 hasn't been marked as a -- as an exhibit.
 - Q. Yeah. I gave you a copy.
- 19 A. Oh, okay.
 - Q. It had been previously marked as Exhibit 7.
- 21 A. Oh, okav.
- 22 Q. And I apologize. If you want to mark it, I
- 23 mean, there's -- it's a part of the record already.
- 24 A. Oh, okay.
- 25 Q. I may do that with a few other documents.

13 (Pages 1708 to 1711)

16

18

20

```
Page 1716
                                                                                                                 Page 1718
 1
            MR, AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Lacks
                                                                    1
                                                                               THE WITNESS: I -- I suppose there could be
 2
                                                                    2
       foundation. Mischaracterizes prior testimony.
                                                                         a -- a circumstance hypothetically where the District
 3
                                                                    3
            THE WITNESS: Could you clarify if we're
                                                                         could make that determination.
 4
       talking about any of the four stations for today's depo?
                                                                    4
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
 5
            MR. FINSTEN: We're talking about -- we're just
                                                                    5
                                                                           Q. What kind of circumstances would cause the
 6
       taking about 1905.
                                                                    6
                                                                         District to determine that it needed to do a soil vapor
 7
                                                                    7
            THE WITNESS: Okay.
                                                                         survey prior to the completion of the CPT first scope of
 8
            MR. AXLINE: I'm going to object again as vague
                                                                    8
                                                                         work?
 9
       and lacking foundation. And you're not being clear in
                                                                    9
                                                                              MR. AXLINE: Same objection.
10
       the context in which you're asking this question. I'm
                                                                  10
                                                                              THE WITNESS: I -- I would be speculating on a
       sincere. I think it's incredibly vague.
                                                                         hypothetical. I think it's -- it's really hard for me
11
                                                                  11
12
            MR. FINSTEN: Since 2008, I just asked him, has
                                                                  12
                                                                         to -- to come up with something that I could draw back
13
       the District engaged in any soil vapor survey at this
                                                                  13
                                                                         to -- to this particular site.
                                                                  14
14
       station. He said he didn't believe so.
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
15
                                                                  15
            MR. AXLINE: Oh, okay. That's a fair question.
                                                                           Q. Does the District have any concrete plans to do
            MR. FINSTEN: Okay. I'm limiting all of this
                                                                  16
                                                                         a soil vapor survey at any point in time in the future?
16
                                                                  17
17
       to 2008, if that was your objection.
                                                                               On this ARCO station?
18
                                                                  18
                                                                           O. On this ARCO station. All these questions are
            MR. AXLINE: No. No. You prefaced the
19
       question with some references to Mr. Bolin's testimony
                                                                  19
                                                                         limited to this ARCO station.
20
                                                                           A. It's not currently in our plans.
       and a few other things. But if your question is simply
                                                                  20
21
       has the District conducted soil vapor surveys of the
                                                                  21
                                                                               MR. FINSTEN: Okay. Could I have my question
22
       station since 2008, I have no objection to that
                                                                  22
                                                                         read back.
23
       question.
                                                                  23
                                                                               THE COURT REPORTER: The last question was:
24
            MR. FINSTEN: The Bolin thing was just for
                                                                  24
                                                                         "Does the District have any concrete plans to do any
                                                                  25
25
       context. Sorry. It probably should been left alone.
                                                                         soil vapor surveys at any point in the future?"
                                               Page 1717
                                                                                                                 Page 1719
 1
       BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                    1
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
 2
         Q. All right. Has the District ruled out the need
                                                                    2
                                                                           Q. Okay. Let's look up a little higher in the
 3
      to do a soil vapor survey at this site?
                                                                    3
                                                                         document, "Detailed contaminant hydrogeologic analysis,
 4
         A. We have not ruled that out.
                                                                    4
                                                                         existing data and information."
 5
         Q. Is that something that you would do subsequent
                                                                    5
                                                                               Is this a step that Hargis completed by
      to the CPT testing?
 6
                                                                    6
                                                                         compiling the site summary?
 7
                                                                    7
         A. It's possible -- it -- it's possible it could
                                                                           A. I would say what they did certainly falls
 8
       be, but it's not -- it's not currently in our -- in the
                                                                    8
                                                                         within that category, within that description. I don't
 9
       immediate scope of work.
                                                                    9
                                                                         know if that -- the -- I wouldn't necessarily say that
10
         Q. Would it be something that would not be done
                                                                  10
                                                                         the work that Hargis did completes that entire activity,
11
       until after the immediate scope of work was completed?
                                                                  11
                                                                         but certainly what they did I would say falls within
12
         A. It's -- it's not within the current scope of
                                                                  12
                                                                         that -- that description.
13
       work. So unless we made some determination that it
                                                                  13
                                                                           Q. What else needs to be done to complete that
14
                                                                  14
       should be done, even though we haven't maybe finished
                                                                         activity?
15
       the -- this CPT scope of work, that we somehow decided
                                                                  15
                                                                           A. Well, there could be -- I mean, again, I'm not
16
       we needed this soil vapor survey information and we need
                                                                  16
                                                                         saying that the District has made decisions as to what
17
                                                                  17
       to either add it to Hargis' scope or develop another
                                                                         additional activities or -- or analyses need to be done
18
       parallel activity. If we don't make that determination,
                                                                  18
                                                                         for this site as it pertains to this description. So,
19
       then I suppose it would come after -- afterwards.
                                                                  19
                                                                         again, I -- I could be hypothetically saying what
20
         Q. Okay. Is this -- are there any circumstances
                                                                  20
                                                                         additional work might need to be done, but this implies
21
       where -- that it might not -- where the District might
                                                                  21
                                                                         existing data and information.
22
       not wait until the first scope of work is complete at
                                                                  22
                                                                               It tells me that the idea here was to not --
23
       this station to initiate this?
                                                                  23
                                                                         that this was not to go out and collect existing -- or
24
            MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for
                                                                  24
                                                                         collect additional data, but to look at existing data
25
                                                                  25
                                                                         and information and to perform detailed contaminant
      speculation.
```

15 (Pages 1716 to 1719)

```
Page 1722
                                               Page 1720
  1
       hydrogeologic analysis. And the District has not
                                                                    1
                                                                         hypothetical. If we were to be thinking of doing fate
  2
                                                                    2
                                                                         and transport analyses prior to the CPT, why -- why
       completed that -- that work.
                                                                    3
                                                                         would we do that or why not? So it's kind of a
  3
         Q. I guess -- and my question is: What does the
  4
       District need to do to complete that work?
                                                                         hypothetical on something that we're not planning on
  5
         A. Okay. And your word is "need to do." And I
                                                                    5
                                                                         doing.
                                                                    6
  6
       guess we don't know yet what we need to do to complete
                                                                            Q. Okay.
                                                                    7
  7
                                                                               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A minute.
       the work. It's -- to me it's what additional work could
                                                                    8
                                                                               MR. FINSTEN: All right. Why don't we take a
  8
       be done within that, and I would say fate and transport
  9
       analysis could be -- could be performed and would likely
                                                                    9
                                                                         break here with that answer to change tapes.
                                                                               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the approval of
10
                                                                  10
       need to be performed, and that that could be done using
                                                                         counsel, we are going off the record. The time is
11
       existing data information. It doesn't necessarily have
                                                                  11
12
       to wait for additional information. And the District
                                                                  12
                                                                         approximately 11:11.
13
       has not done that work.
                                                                  13
                                                                               (Recess.)
                                                                               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: With the approval of
                                                                  14
14
         Q. Okay. Does the District have any plans to do
                                                                  15
                                                                         counsel, we are back on the record. The time is
15
       any fate or transport analysis at this station in the
                                                                         approximately 11:24. This is the beginning of Tape
16
                                                                  16
                                                                  17
17
          A. I would say that is likely, but the District
                                                                         No. 2.
                                                                   18
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
18
       has not made that determination yet.
                                                                  19
                                                                            Q. Welcome back, Mr. Herndon. We were discussing
19
          Q. Has the District made any determination to do
20
                                                                  20
                                                                         Exhibit 6. And I'm now going to ask you about a couple
       any fate and transport analysis prior to the completion
       of the data that it is about to gather through Hargis?
                                                                   21
                                                                         of other items on the -- on the first page of the
21
22
             MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague.
                                                                   22
                                                                         exhibit, "Contaminant delineation, three dimension,
23
             THE WITNESS: I guess, would you -- would
                                                                  23
                                                                         acquire sites for monitoring wells, land purchase,
                                                                   24
24
       you -- do you consider fate and transport analysis to be
                                                                          easement, eminent domain."
                                                                   25
25
                                                                               Do you see that?
       modeling? Is that -- would that be part of your
                                                                                                                 Page 1723
                                                Page 1721
  1
       question or can -- I'm just trying to narrow it down.
                                                                    1
                                                                            A. Yes.
                                                                    2
                                                                            Q. Now, this is on hold until Hargis completes the
  2
       BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                    3
                                                                         CPT/HydroPunch sampling, correct?
  3
          Q. Well, it's on -- I mean, it's on the list here.
  4
        We have modeling and interpretation under fate and
                                                                    4
                                                                            A. As currently scoped, the plan is to -- is to
       transport analysis. And this is -- the question came up
                                                                    5.
                                                                         perform the CPT work and then construct the monitoring
  5
                                                                         wells. But during the course of the CPT investigation,
       in fate -- you raised fate and transport analysis in
                                                                    6
  6
                                                                         I won't rule out the possibility that the District may
                                                                    7
  7
        relation to the line here about detailed contaminant
                                                                    8
                                                                         decide, through discussions with Hargis, that we want to
  8
       hydrogeologic analysis of existing data and information.
  9
             And the question that I raised is: Are you
                                                                    9
                                                                          at least begin the process of constructing monitoring
10
                                                                         wells. So I'm just leaving that option open.
        going to be doing any fate and transport analysis prior
                                                                   10
        to collecting the data that you plan on collecting at
                                                                            Q. But you won't know where to put the monitoring
                                                                   1.1
\sqrt{12}
        this site?
                                                                   12
                                                                         wells until -- until after you have some CPT/HydroPunch
 13
             MR. AXLINE: Same objection.
                                                                   13
                                                                          analysis, correct?
             THE WITNESS: Not that the District is planning
                                                                   14
                                                                               MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for
14
15
                                                                   15
                                                                          speculation. Mischaracterizes testimony.
        on doing.
16
        BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                   16
                                                                               THE WITNESS: I think our current plan is to at
                                                                   17
                                                                          least get the first round of CPTs installed before we
 17
          Q. Why would the District continue doing any fate
        and transport analysis prior to gathering data about the
                                                                   18
                                                                          would make a determination of -- as to where and how
 18
                                                                   19
                                                                          many monitoring wells might be necessary.
 19
        site?
 20
             MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Argumentative
                                                                   20
                                                                          BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                            Q. How likely is it that you will make
                                                                   21
 21
             THE WITNESS: Since I answered that we are not
                                                                   22
                                                                          determinations about where and how many monitoring wells
 22
        currently planning to do fate and transport analysis for
 23
        this station prior to -- and I'm talking modeling type
                                                                   23
                                                                          prior to completing the CPT/HydroPunch testing,
 24
                                                                   24
                                                                          including whatever infill or outfill you later deem
        of fate and transport analysis, prior to Hargis' CPT
                                                                   25
 25
        investigation, I would be then answering kind of a
                                                                          necessary.
```

```
Page 1724
                                                                                                                Page 1726
 1
            MR. AXLINE: Objection. Asked and answered.
                                                                        BY MR. FINSTEN:
 2
                                                                   2
                                                                           Q. The District currently has no concrete plans to
       Calls for speculation.
 3
                                                                   3
            THE WITNESS: At this -- at this point, it's
                                                                        install any monitoring wells at the site, correct?
 4
       hard for me to say how -- how likely it would be. 1 --
                                                                   4
                                                                              MR. AXLINE: Objection. Asked and answered.
 5
       1 guess it's -- it's just -- I -- 1 think at this point,
                                                                   5
                                                                              THE WITNESS: I would say it is very likely
 6
       1 -- 1 think we need to start getting the first round of
                                                                   6
                                                                        that we will be installing monitoring wells, but we
 7
       CPTs in before I'd be able to get a better idea of how
                                                                   7
                                                                        would like to get at least the initial CPT data before
 8
                                                                        we make that final determination and -- and -- which
       likely it would be.
                                                                   8
 9
       BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                   9
                                                                        would also help us in terms of location and number of
10
         Q. And just to confirm, no steps have been taken
                                                                 10
                                                                        monitoring wells.
11
       regarding acquiring sites for monitoring wells, correct?
                                                                 11
                                                                        BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                 12
12
         A. Not specific for monitoring wells, no.
                                                                           Q. Has the District since 2008 engaged in any slug
13
         Q. Now, the CPT and HydroPunch testing is not on
                                                                  13
                                                                        tests, pump tests, or piezometric testing at this site?
14
       the list of investigative remediation activity outline
                                                                 14
                                                                        Pardon me.
15
       that is Exhibit 6, is it?
                                                                  15
                                                                          A. To my knowledge, the District has not performed
16
            MR. AXLINE: Objection. It's outside of the
                                                                 16
                                                                        any aquifer testing or pump testing specific to this
17
       scope of this deposition notice. You're not asking
                                                                 17
                                                                        site, nor -- nor has the District installed any
18
       about something that has happened since 2008. You're
                                                                  18
                                                                        piezometers specifically to -- for this site.
19
                                                                 19
       asking about this list, and you had prior opportunity to
                                                                           Q. Does the District have any plans to install
20
       do that. So I'm going to instruct the witness not to
                                                                 20
                                                                        piezometers or conduct any slug tests or pump tests at
21
       answer.
                                                                 21
22
                                                                 22
       BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                              MR. AXLINE: Objection. Lacks foundation.
23
         Q. Let me rephrase the question so we can avoid
                                                                 23
                                                                         Vague. Calls for speculation.
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Well, the piezometers -- the
24
       the objection.
                                                                  24
25
                                                                 25
                                                                        monitoring wells that we talked about would -- would
            The next step that you have decided to
                                               Page 1725
                                                                                                                Page 1727
 1
       pursue -- that the District has decided to pursue, that
                                                                   1
                                                                        serve as piezometers. They -- they would provide water
 2
                                                                   2
       of CPT/HydroPunch testing, which it has decided to
                                                                        level data and -- and they also could be used for -- for
 3
       pursue since 2008, is not on the list of remediation
                                                                   3
                                                                        slug tests or pump tests. And I -- those types of tests
 4.
      activities, is it?
                                                                   4
                                                                         are -- would be very reasonable things to expect to be
 5
         A. Well, I would consider it to be within
                                                                   5
                                                                        done in the course of constructing and monitoring
 6
       contaminant delineation, even though it is not the
                                                                   6
                                                                        monitoring wells.
 7
                                                                   7
       words -- the initials CPT is not specifically listed
                                                                        BY MR. FINSTEN:
 8
                                                                   8
       under that, but I would consider it to be part of
                                                                           Q. But they will not be done prior to the
 9
       contaminant delineation.
                                                                   9
                                                                         installation of monitoring wells, correct?
10
         Q. But it's a precursor to installation of
                                                                  10
                                                                           A. I -- I would not anticipate that to be done
11
                                                                  11
       monitoring wells, aquifer testing, monitoring of
                                                                         prior to the installation of monitoring wells.
12
       those -- groundwater monitoring of the monitoring wells
                                                                  12
                                                                           Q. Okay. And the routine groundwater monitoring
13
       that are to be installed, correct?
                                                                  13
                                                                         that's referenced here, sample collection, laboratory
14
            MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes
                                                                  14
                                                                         sample analysis, data review and analysis, data
15
       testimony. Argumentative.
                                                                  15
                                                                         interpretation and reporting, aside from the groundwater
16
            THE WITNESS: As -- as I said, it's possible
                                                                  16
                                                                         monitoring -- aside from monitoring the reports that are
17
       that we may decide even as we're continuing the CPT
                                                                  17
                                                                         provided by the remediation consultant at the station,
18
       investigation, that monitoring well installation should
                                                                  18
                                                                         the District hasn't engaged in any of these steps on its
19
       happen sooner even as we continue with the CPT
                                                                  19
                                                                         own, correct, since 2008?
20
       investigation. So it -- it -- it doesn't necessarily
                                                                  20
                                                                           A. Well, it has in terms of the well testing
21
       have to happen sequentially, that CPT happens first,
                                                                  21
                                                                         within Plume 3. It's -- it's collected samples for
22
       then monitoring wells, and so on.
                                                                  22
                                                                         monitoring and production wells and performed lab
23
            We can sometimes -- there may be some
                                                                  23
                                                                         analysis on it.
24
       iterations there, that we may actually put CPTs in,
                                                                  24
                                                                           Q. Sorry. I'm specific --
25
       drill some monitoring wells, put additional CPTs in.
                                                                  25
                                                                           A. Sure.
```

```
Page 1728
                                                                                                                 Page 1730
 1
                                                                         speculation. I believe it mischaracterizes prior
         Q. -- to 1905, the station.
                                                                    1
 2
         A. Well, I guess to the degree that we have
                                                                    2
                                                                         testimony.
 3
       designated wells associated with Plume 3, of which 1905
                                                                    3
                                                                              THE WITNESS: We have not put together a -- a
 4
                                                                    4
                                                                         schedule. So I don't have a specific time -- time
       is one of the stations, then I guess I could -- I would
 5
       say that the monitoring or the testing of -- of the
                                                                    5
                                                                         schedule in mind as to when this work would be done.
 6
                                                                    6
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
       designated wells at a minimum would be associated with
 7
                                                                    7
                                                                           Q. Looking at the next section here, it says,
       this station.
 8
                                                                    8
                                                                         "Production well testing."
         Q. You're referring to M-10, M-11, and M-45?
 9
         A. Yes.
                                                                    9
                                                                              For Plume 3, this section doesn't apply because
                                                                  10
10
                                                                         these are not production wells, correct?
         Q. Okay. But in terms of the monitoring wells
11
       that are currently installed at the station, the
                                                                  11
                                                                              MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes prior
       District has not conducted any of its own monitoring of
                                                                  12
                                                                         testimony. And vague as to "applies."
                                                                  13
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
13
       those -- or sampling of those monitoring wells?
14
         A. No.
                                                                  14
                                                                           Q. Well, let's see. Let me rephrase.
15
         Q. Does it plan to in the future?
                                                                  15
                                                                              There's no need to perform any capture zone
            MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for
16
                                                                  16
                                                                         analysis of OCWD M-10, M-11, or M-45 because they don't
17
       speculation.
                                                                  17
                                                                         have capture zones, correct?
18
            THE WITNESS: We don't have current plans for
                                                                  18
                                                                           A. Well, M-10, M-11, and M-45 are wells designated
19
                                                                  19
       that, but I could see that that would be a -- a likely
                                                                         for Plume 3, but as we've talked about, there are
20
       possibility that the District would -- if -- if it
                                                                  20
                                                                         wells -- other production -- there are production wells
21
       decides to proceed with constructing its own monitoring
                                                                  21
                                                                         within Plume 3 for which it's possible that capture zone
22
       wells, it might wish to access the existing monitoring
                                                                  22
                                                                         analysis for those production wells would -- would be
23
       wells to be -- that have already been constructed to be
                                                                  23
                                                                         appropriate to be done.
                                                                  24
24
       able to utilize that information in concert with its own
                                                                           Q. I'm just talking about the wells that are
25
                                                                  25
                                                                         designated for this focus plume.
       monitoring wells.
                                               Page 1729
                                                                                                               Page 1731
 1
       BY MR. FINSTEN:
                                                                    1
                                                                            A. I would not see -- specific to doing a capture
 2
                                                                    2
          Q. And fate and transport -- well, let's just
                                                                         zone, since those wells are not production wells, they
 3
       handle fate and transport and contaminant flow analysis
                                                                    3
                                                                         would not have really a -- they would not create a
 4
       together.
                                                                    4
                                                                         capture zone in the sense that a production well would.
 5
             Since 2008, has the District taken any actions
                                                                    5
                                                                            Q. What about nonpumping versus pumping
  6
       towards a fate and transport or contaminant flow
                                                                         conditions, same false distinction for monitoring wells?
                                                                    6
 7
                                                                    7
       analysis?
                                                                            A. For monitoring wells, I -- I don't see that
 8
          A. Well, the District has taken initial steps by
                                                                    8
                                                                         that would really apply.
 9
       utilizing Hargis to at least initially look at I think
                                                                    9
                                                                            Q. Okay. And I'm not going to ask these questions
10
       what they call pathway analysis.
                                                                  10
                                                                         again when we cover the other stations. This was --
11
                                                                  11
          Q. Okay. And that would fall under the category
                                                                         we're talking about the production or the focus -- the
12
       of contaminant flow analysis?
                                                                  12
                                                                         designated wells for the focus plume. I'll just go
13
          A. I would say -- I would say, yes, that it
                                                                  13
                                                                         through this once, and then we won't have to --
14
       probably more -- generally more falls under that --
                                                                  14
                                                                            A. Okay.
15
                                                                  15
                                                                            Q. -- deal with it with the rest of the stations.
       under that category.
16
                                                                               MR. AXLINE: I would support that approach.
          Q. Has the District taken any steps to engage in
                                                                  16
17
       any modeling for fate and transport or contaminant flow
                                                                  17
                                                                         BY MR. FINSTEN:
18
       since 2008 at this station, 1905?
                                                                  18
                                                                            Q. And what is the volume of water that is pulled
19
                                                                  19
          A. The District has not undertaken modeling for
                                                                         from these production wells -- or these designated focus
20
       those activities for this site in -- since May of 2008
                                                                  20
                                                                         plume wells?
21
       or since -- since 2008.
                                                                  21
                                                                            A. I don't know specifically, but it would be in
22
          Q. Okay. Having testified that this is something
                                                                  22
                                                                         the several-gallons-per-minute range when the wells are
23
       the District intends on doing, when does the District
                                                                  23
                                                                         being purged and sampled, is -- is my general
24
                                                                  24
                                                                         understanding.
       intend on doing this for this station?
25
             MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for
                                                                  25
                                                                            Q. Okay. And what's done with the water that is
```

18 (Pages 1728 to 1731)

Page 1732 Page 1734 sampled? District need to know about this station prior to 2 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. selecting a remediation technology? 3 THE WITNESS: I don't -- my general 3 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for understanding is that it is -- it is discharged under 4 speculation. a -- under a permit from the Regional Board. 5 THE WITNESS: I think the District would need 6 BY MR. FINSTEN: 6 to understand more about the extent and distribution 7 Q. All right. Let's look at page 2 of the 7 of -- of MTBE and TBA in order to be able to put exhibit. And we'll just skip the nonsite-specific together a specific remediation design, a system design. 8 9 portion of this, because we are after all at a BY MR. FINSTEN: 9 10 site-specific deposition. 10 Q. So what steps does the District need to take 11 Remediation action, system design, system 11 prior to determining a remedial system -- design for 12 installation and system operation, maintenance, remedial system at the site? 12 13 telemetry, just going down the list here. The District MR. AXLINE: Same objection. Vague. Calls for 13 has not taken any steps since 2008 in regard to design 14 speculation. 15 or installation or operation of its own remediation 15 BY MR. FINSTEN: 16 system, correct? 16 Q. We're going to get CPT and HydroPunch testing. 17 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes prior 17 We're going to get monitoring well installation. 18 testimony. 18 Anything else? 19 THE WITNESS: I think the work that -- that the 19 A. Well, that type of information is certainly 20 District commissioned Hargis to do is a step toward 20 very useful. Fate and transport analysis would be 21 remediation. useful as well and -- I'm trying to think of -- those --22 BY MR. FINSTEN: 22 those would all be key pieces of information that the 23 Q. Okay. Well, let's look at the first one, 23 District could use to start to develop a remedial system 24 remediation action, remedial action planning, technology specific to this site. 24 25 review and selection. 25 It's possible that the District could at least Page 1733 Page 1735 1 Now, so the Hargis -- I don't mean to begin and likely will begin the process of at least mischaracterize testimony. The Hargis would include looking at remedial technology given certain assumptions 3 technology review of remediation -- remediation 3 in terms of flow rates, concentrations. That kind of activity can be done very quickly even before all the technologies? 5 data are coming in. And then it could be modified or A. Their current scope of work, to my knowledge, 6 did not include technology review. 6 the system could be modified or refined based on O. What else has the District done to review 7 site-specific information. technology or select technology relating to remediation 8 Q. Has the District -- never mind. I think it was 9 at this station? already asked. 10 A. In terms of technology for extraction wells and 10 Does the District plan to take any of those 11 steps prior to the conclusion of the first round of CPT treatment processes, I don't believe the District has 12 undertaken that activity as of vet --12 testing? Q. Okay. 13 A. I don't think we're going to be starting 13 14 that -- that type of remedial design work prior to the A. -- for this station. 14 O. Okav. The District hasn't ruled out the need 15 first round of CPT testing. 15 16 to do so in the future, correct? 16 O. How about prior to the installation of 17 A. That's correct. 17 monitoring wells? 18 Q. When does the District plan on getting around 18 A. It's possible. I -- I won't rule it out, but to selecting remedial technology for the site? 19 I -- I don't know if that -- if that will be the case or

19 (Pages 1732 to 1735)

Q. Can you estimate at the earliest when the

MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague. Calls for

District will be in a position to install a remedial

20 not.

system at this site?

speculation.

21

22

23

MR. AXLINE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware -- I -- I -- the

Q. What steps does the -- sorry. What does the

District has not put together a -- a specific time schedule for performing those activities.

20

21

22

24

25

BY MR. FINSTEN:

Page 1736 Page 1738 And I'm going to instruct the witness not to A. Taking them one at a time, in terms of public speculate. If you can estimate, you can do so, but and community relations, the District -- we have you're not required to speculate. internal public and community relations staff. That's MR. FINSTEN: Agreed. That's why I asked him where the staff subheader comes in. So they've -- they 5 are at least aware of the CPT work, and they may get to estimate. MR. AXLINE: And that's why I told him not to more involved as we get closer to actually being out speculate. 7 doing those CPTs. But I'm not aware of any outside 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- I -- I would be 8 public relations firms being brought in. speculating. I -- I don't have -- I don't have a -- an 9 Q. Anything else? idea of that at this time. 10 A. As far as real estate, I believe we have BY MR. FINSTEN: 11 11 involved our in-house real estate person to help us 12 Q. Okay. Let's look at page 3. Technology -begin identifying property owners, but I'm not aware of 13 technical assistance, intersite investigations. bringing in any outside real estate specialist as of yet 13 14 Has the District endeavored to conduct any 14 for that. 15 intersite investigations or remediations involving this 15 In terms of legal assistance, I guess that 16 station since 2008? 16 maybe gets into attorney-client privilege, so I don't 17 A. Well, I would say some of the Hargis CPT 17 know if I can really get into those issues. 18 locations arguably could be, particularly the 18 Q. Well, the question would be, have you engaged 19 northerly/northeasterly CPTs -- locations that we talked any legal assistance? That would not be -- I think 19 20 about could provide data that would be useful in 20 Mr. Axline would probably agree that question would not 21 determining if there is a relationship between the 21 call for attorney-client privileged information. 22 ARCO 1905 site and the other adjacent site gas station. 22 A. I -- I guess --23 So that work may also be considered an intersite 23 Q. If I were to ask you --24 activity. 24 A. Yeah. 25 Q. Since 2008, has the District uncovered any 25 Q. -- what did they say, that would clearly Page 1737 Page 1739 evidence of commingling of MTBE contamination involving intrude, but -this station and other stations? 2 2 A. Okay. Well, the answer is yes on the site 3 A. I don't recall seeing any data that indicate 3 acquisition. that there is -- is commingling. I won't rule out that 4 O. And who have you engaged? possibility certainly, but I don't recall seeing data 5 A. Miller Axline. that indicate that there has been commingling of -- of 6 6 Q. And when did that occur? 7 this station's plume with other stations' plumes. 7 A. Subsequent -- I'd say --Q. Since 2008, has the District done anything 8 8 MR. AXLINE: Hold on. 9 else -- strike that. Strike that. I jumped ahead. 9 THE WITNESS: Oh, sure. 10 Just looking at page 3, land purchase, public 10 MR. AXLINE: Let me object here that you're now 11

11 and community relations, real estate specialist, legal 12 assistance, insurance and security.

13 Excepting Hargis, has the District received any 14 outside technical assistance relating to any of these issues relating to 1905, land purchase, public community 15

16 relations, real estate specialist, legal assistance,

insurance and security? 17

18 A. Well --

- 19 Q. And I should caution -- sorry. I don't mean to
- 20 interrupt you.
- 21 A. Sure:
- 22 Q. But legal assistance doesn't mean what
- 23 Mr. Axline is doing right here, relating to site
- acquisitions for wells or remediation systems, or I will
- 25 expand that to CPT/HydroPunch testing, also.

asking questions that are not limited to the time period between Mr. Herndon's last deposition. 12

13 MR. FINSTEN: All of these questions are

14 intended to be limited since 2008, so --

MR. AXLINE: That specific question, I think 15 needs to be clarified, then. 16

17 BY MR. FINSTEN:

- 18 Q. When, since 2008, did you engage Miller Axline 19 to do work relating to site acquisition relating to this
- 20 station?
- 21 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague as to the term
- 22 "engage."
- 23 BY MR. FINSTEN:
- 24 Q. Go ahead.
- 25 A. I recall having discussions sometime in July,

20 (Pages 1736 to 1739)

Page 1752 Q. Okay. Now, since 2008, has the District learned of any other real hydrogeologic evidence that MTBE had escaped remediation at the site? A. I believe the detections of MTBE since 2008 in 5 Monitoring Well M-45 are also evidence of plume escape 6 from remediation. 7

Q. And does the District have any evidence linking the detections in MW -- I'm sorry -- in OCWD M-45 with the release at this station?

10 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Asked and answered in 11 his prior deposition. Can you --

MR. FINSTEN: This is in relation to new 12 13 detections in MW-45 -- in OCWD 45. So I think that the question is fair.

14 15 THE WITNESS: I think the conditions -- the 16 groundwater conditions that have -- that were discussed in my prior deposition or likely that were discussed in 17 18 my prior deposition in terms of vertical gradient and groundwater flow directions would continue to indicate 19 20 that the MTBE found in M-45 since 2008 reasonably could 21 have come from -- would have been concluded to have come 22 from this site.

23 BY MR. FINSTEN:

9

Q. And just to confirm, there is -- in the Hargis 24 25 report, 120, Exhibit 120, then, there is no real

Page 1753

hydrogeologic evidence that MTBE had escaped remediation at the site in that summary, correct?

2 3 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Mischaracterizes the document. Mischaracterizes prior testimony. Vague. 4

5 Calls for speculation. 6

7

THE WITNESS: I believe the Hargis report makes a number of statements that indicate that the plume has not been hydraulically contained. It has not been

laterally or vertically delineated, and that there are 9

10 various natural and manmade conduits that could allow

11 for contamination to migrate down into deeper aquifers.

12 BY MR. FINSTEN:

Q. Is any of that real hydrogeologic evidence that

the contamination had escaped remediation at 1905?

MR. AXLINE: Objection. Argumentative.

BY MR. FINSTEN: 16

Q. I'm sorry. I don't mean to be argumentative.

It's Mr. Bolin's phrase, "real hydrogeologic evidence."

19 I agree coming out of nowhere, that sounds obnoxious,

20 but it's not my own words.

A. In terms of specific concentrations 21

22 associated -- of MTBE associated with this site, I guess

23 those hydrogeologic conditions are not specific in terms

24 of a -- of a given time frame associated with this site.

O. Well, to confirm, the Hargis report was

available to Mr. Bolin at the time he made his

declaration, right?

A. I guess -- what was the date of his declaration

again? I forgot.

Q. June 3rd, 2009.

A. I guess -- I'm not sure if the Hargis report

was available to him at that time.

8 Q. Well, looking at the Hargis report real

9 quickly, it's dated in the footer, February 20, 2009,

10 correct?

A. That -- that's what the date notation 11

12 indicates, yes.

13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that

14 Mr. Bolin didn't look at it until after June?

15 A. I don't know if the District received that

16 document before June of 2009.

17 Q. Okay. All right. Let's take a look at another

18 exhibit that has been previously marked. This has been

previously marked as Exhibit 8. This is Plaintiff

20 Orange County Water District's combined responses to

21 certain defendants' third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh

22 and eighth sent of interrogatories, re: Cost recovery at

23 Focus Plumes 1, 2, 3, 8, and 9. I'm going to try to

24 speed through this.

25 Mr. Herndon, are you familiar with this

Page 1755

Page 1754

1 document?

2

4

A. Yes.

3 Q. Did you participate in its creation?

A. I believe I assisted with that, yes.

Q. Okay. If I could get you to look at page 29.

6 There's a chart that was included in response to

Interrogatory No. 6. Part of the chart, it says, "The

District has expended funds for consulting services,

9 investigative costs and District staff costs, as well

analytical costs" -- I'm sorry -- "analytical testing 10

11 with associated costs of sample collection. A summary 12 of those costs below."

13

Did I read that correctly?

A. "Summary of those costs is as follows," yes. 14 15

Q. Thank you. Thank you.

16 And then there's a chart that goes over to page 29 and page 30. The first line item in the chart 17 refers to investigation costs for H2O R2 Consultants.

19 This is not an expense that was related to

20 ARCO 1905, correct?

21 MR. AXLINE: Objection. Vague.

22 THE WITNESS: Boy, it's been a while since I

reviewed the work done by H2O R2. 23

24 BY MR. FINSTEN:

25 Q. I'll give you a hint. If you turn the page,

24 (Pages 1752 to 1755)

	Page 1888		Page 1890
1	A. Okay.	1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	Q which identifies ARCO 1912 in the agenda	-2	
3	items submittal to the board.	3	I, Kimberly Thrall, Certified Shorthand
4	Is this the first time that this station was	4	Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, in and
5	specifically identified to the board of directors of	5	for the State of California, do hereby certify:
6	Orange County Water District?	6	
7	MR. AXLINE: If you're asking about post-2008,	7	That the witness named in the foregoing
8	Counsel?	8	deposition was, before the commencement of the
9	MR. FINSTEN: This is dated August 18, 2010.	9	deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance
10	And I think that the prior testimony from the prior	10	with the Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that
11	deposition was that there was no discussion of any	11	the testimony and proceedings were reported
12	station with the board of directors. I'm just trying to	12	stenographically by me and later transcribed through
13	ask if there was any other time before August 18, 2010	13	computer-aided transcription under my direction and
14	that this station was identified to the board.	14	supervision; that the foregoing is a true record of the
15	MR. AXLINE: Well, the prior deposition	15	testimony and proceedings taken at that time.
16	testimony is what it is.	16	
17	MR. FINSTEN: That's right.	17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
18	MR. AXLINE: So I'm going to allow him to	18	my name this 21st day of September, 2010.
19	answer the question with respect to time periods	19	
20	subsequent to 2008.	20	
21	THE WITNESS: Subsequent to 2008, I don't	21	
22	recall another time, other than August 18, 2010, where	22	Kimberly S. Thrall, RPR, CSR No. 11594
23	this station was specifically identified to the board.	23	
24	MR. FINSTEN: Okay. I'm done. I have no	24	
25	further questions today.	25	
	Page 1889		Page 1891
1		1	Page 1891
1 2	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me.	1	
2	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone?	1 2	Page 1891 ERRATA
	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone.		
2	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us.	2	ERRATA
2 3 4 5	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII	2	ERRATA
2 3 4	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water	2 3 4	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON:
2 3 4 5	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to	2 3 4 5	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 .6 7 8 9	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. AXLINE: No questions from me. MR. FINSTEN: Anybody on the phone? MR. AXLINE: We lost everyone. MR. FINSTEN: No one. They all gave up on us. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Volume VIII of the corporate designee of Orange County Water District, represented by Roy Herndon, in regards to production of documents for site-specific ARCO 1905, ARCO 1912, Thrifty 383, and Beacon Bay Car Wash Fountain Valley. This video deposition consists of five videotapes. The time is approximately 5:22. We are now off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON: REASON:

58 (Pages 1888 to 1891)

EXHIBIT 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: Methyl tertiary Butyl Ether ("MtBE")
Products Liability Litigation

This Document Relates To: Orange County Water District v. Unocal Corporation, et al., Case No. 04 Civ. 4968 (SAS) MDL No. 1358 (SAS)

DECLARATION OF CHARLES R. LINES IN COMPLIANCE WITH CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 4, SECTION IILB.1.(b).(ii)

The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin

DECLARATION OF CHARLES R. LINES

I, Charles R. Lines, under penalty of perjury, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am Manager Policies, Legislation and Regulation for Chevron Texaco
 Products Company, a division of Chevron U.S.A. Inc. I submit this declaration on behalf of
 Chevron U.S.A. Inc. ("Chevron"), pursuant to Case Management Order No. 4. Except as
 otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a chart identifying the addresses of the gasoline stations that Chevron has owned, operated, leased, and/or that have been subject to a Chevron retail supply contract, since 1986, with the dates of such ownership, operation, lease, and/or retail supply contract, within Orange County, California (the "Station Chart").
- 3. I am informed and believe that the Station Chart attached hereto was prepared using the information provided by Chevron employees Tony Dong and Lorrine Griswold, who have knowledge regarding the databases containing the service station operational histories reflected in the charts. I am further informed that these operational histories were derived from two primary databases: the SAP eFoundation database downloaded into the MARketing Viewable Electronic Library ("MARVEL") reporting tool, and the Chevron

1-LA/809847.1



Products System ("CPS") database.

- 4. The SAP eFoundation and CPS databases encompass various systems that track customer and product transactions for various Chevron businesses. These include sales, contracts, invoices, payments, bank transfers, credit, tax, price, customer and production information for gasoline and other petroleum products supplied by Chevron to Chevron-branded retail service station facilities. CPS was replaced by SAP eFoundation on January 1, 2003. CPS data was imported into SAP eFoundation. Data from SAP is downloaded into MARVEL each day.
- 5. The accuracy of the service station information contained in the SAP eFoundation and CPS databases is limited, as the databases rely on the precision of the party inputting the data into them. In addition, on occasion, the older CPS data may be overridden by newer SAP data that is entered, such as date information, which may alter the original data entry. In obtaining information from the databases and preparing the attached Station Chart, Chevron did not review or compare the underlying service station files, which may contain more accurate and complete information.
- 6. The Station Chart lists the subject service station sites by address, with three rows identifying the type and years of operation for each site: "Owned/Leased," which refers to stations that were either operated by Chevron ("company operated" sites), or stations where Chevron owned the real property or leased it from a third party ("three-party" sites); "Operated," which refers to company operated sites; and "Retail Supply Contract," which refers to three-party sites and to sites where the real property and service station facilities were owned or leased and operated by a third party ("two-party" sites).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 20 day of December, 2004 at Brea, California.

Worlec P Lines

Exhibit A to Declaration of Charles R. Lines

MDL No. 1358 (SAS) - Orange County Water District Station Chart

2261 N FAIRVIEW ST, SANTA ANA, CA 92706-2244 Station Address: 45.

Owned/Leased:

1986-1998

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-2004

32852 VALLE RD, SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA 92675-4502 46. Station Address:

> Owned/Leased: Operated:

Retail Supply Contract: 1986-1994

400 N STATE COLLEGE BL, ANAHEIM, CA 92806-2916 Station Address: 47.

Owned/Leased:

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1986

3801 S BRISTOL ST, SANTA ANA, CA 92704-7426 Station Address: 48.

Owned/Leased:

1986-2000, 2002-2004

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

2002-2004 1986-2000

2590 NEWPORT BLVD, COSTA MESA, CA 92627-5179 49. Station Address:

Owned/Leased:

1986-1987

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-1987

604 S COAST HWY, LAGUNA BEACH, CA 92651-2476 50. Station Address:

Owned/Leased:

1986-2004

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-2004

4991 E LINCOLN BLVD, CYPRESS, CA 90630-2655 51. Station Address:

Owned/Leased:

1999

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1999

10972 KATELLA AVE, ANAHEIM, CA 92804-6134 Station Address: 52.

Owned/Leased:

1986-2004

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-2004

Exhibit A to Declaration of Charles R. Lines

MDL No. 1358 (SAS) - Orange County Water District
Station Chart

99. Station Address: 633 WILLIAMSON AVE, FULLERTON, CA 92832-2155

Owned/Leased:

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract: 1986-1988

100. Station Address: 5395 E LA PALMA AVE, ANAHEIM, CA 92807-2086

Owned/Leased:

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract: 1986-1999

101. Station Address: 1000 W ORANGETHORPE AVE, FULLERTON, CA 92833-4734

Owned/Leased:

1986-2000

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract: 1986-2000

102. Station Address: 1801 S HARBOR BLVD, ANAHEIM, CA 92802-3509

Owned/Leased:

1986-2004

Operated:

2003-2004

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-2002

103. Station Address: 10511 GARDEN GROVE BLVD, GARDEN GROVE, CA 92843-1128

Owned/Leased:

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-1989

104. Station Address: 1071 NORTH BLUE GUM ST, ANAHEIM, CA 92806-2406

Owned/Leased:

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract: 1986

105. Station Address: 361 N HARBOR BLVD, LA HABRA, CA 90631-4846

Owned/Leased:

Operated:

Retail Supply Contract: 1986-2002

106. Station Address: 5992 WESTMINSTER BLVD, WESTMINSTER, CA 92683-3546

Owned/Leased:

1986-2001

Operated:

2001

Retail Supply Contract:

1986-2000, 2002-2004

EXHIBIT 5



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: Methyl tertiary Butyl Ether ("MtBE")
Products Liability Litigation

This Document Relates To:

Orange County Water District v. Unocal Corporation, et al., Case No. 04 Civ. 4968 (SAS) Master File No. 1:00-1898 MDL No. 1358 (SAS)

DECLARATION OF TAM BUI

Tam Bui hereby declares and states as follows:

I am the Manager of the Huntington Beach Terminal (located in Huntington Beach, CA) for Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (Chevron"). Chevron maintains electronic records of gasoline deliveries to retail gasoline stations. I have reviewed these electronic records, and I am familiar with them. True and correct copies of those records have been produced at:

Chevron 9-5401	CHEVMDL135800000583389 - 585345, CHEVMDL135800000585346 - 585564;
Chevron 9-1291	CHEVMDL135800000583389 - 585345, CHEVMDL135800000585346 - 585564;
Chevron 208554	CHEVMDL135800000583389 - 585345, CHEVMDL135800000585346 - 585564;
Chevron 9-5568	CHEVMDL135800000706814 - 707182;
G&M Oil No. 4	CHEVMDL135800000666080 - 666274;
G&M Oil No. 24	CHEVMDL135800000666275 - 666486; and
G&M Oil No. 38	CHEVMDL135800000707284 - 707415.



Chevron has no record of delivering gasoline to any other stations that have been designated as part of a Focus Plume not listed above.

The column headings on the spreadsheets identified above are defined as follows:

"Cal.year/ Month" is the date of delivery.

"Movement Type" is a sale or stock transfer. (A stock transfer is Chevron product taken

to a Chevron station.)

"Ship-to part Trade Class" means the type of station to which the gasoline was delivered.

"Ship to party Facility #" means the distinct number assigned to each Chevron-branded station.

"Ship to Party Name" means the name of the dealer or operator at the facility.

"MOT" means method of transportation.

"Billing document" means Bill of Lading used to invoice dealer or station.

"Pricing date" means the price on the day of loading. The price typically changes every 24 hours.

"Product Code" is the distinct code assigned to each type of gasoline refined by Chevron.

"Product Name" is the name of the product associated with the distinct product code.

"Billed Quantity Gallons" is the amount of gasoline delivered in gallons.

"Quantity in Barrels" is the amount of gasoline delivered in barrels.

- 2. Chevron 9-1921 at 3801 Bristol is a Chevron-branded station. It was owned by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. from a date prior to 1986 until a date after December 31, 2003. During this time period, the station was operated by the following independent businessmen/businesses: Louis J. Bacca, Mustang Marketing, Inc., and Chevron.
- 3. Chevron does not know the precise dates when gasoline containing MTBE was first stored at Chevron-branded stations because its delivery records do not differentiate gasoline with or without MTBE until late 1992. In general, Chevron-branded stations likely had MTBE in certain premium gasoline grades commencing some time in the late 1980s. Starting in late 1992, all grades of gasoline sold at the Chevron-branded stations contained MTBE during the wintertime months in order to comply with the federal Wintertime Oxygenate Program. In 1995, Chevron started adding MTBE on a year-round basis to all gasoline grades in order to comply

with the federal Reformulated Gasoline Program. Chevron stopped selling gasoline containing MTBE at the Chevron-branded stations in 2003, pursuant to the Governor's Executive order on MTBE Phase Out. Consistent with its general practice, Chevron's delivery records show that it delivered gasoline containing MTBE to Chevron 9-1921 on the following dates:

October 29, 1992 through February 28, 1993;

October 1, 1993 through February 28, 1994;

September 29, 1994 through February 28, 1995; and

September 20, 1995 through January 14, 2003.

The specific dates and amounts of those deliveries are set forth in the spreadsheets produced at CHEVMDL135800000583389 - 585345 and CHEVMDL135800000585346 - 585564.

After a diligent search of its records, Chevron was unable to locate any dealership agreements related to this station during the relevant time period.

- 4. Chevron 9-5401 at 5992 Westminster is a Chevron-branded station. It was owned by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. from a date prior to 1986 until January 7, 2002. During this time period, the station was operated by the following independent businessmen/companies: Keith Van Hoesen, Adartse Enterprises, Inc., Chevron Stations Inc., Hassan & Sons Inc., and G&M Oil Co., Inc. From January 8, 2002 until a date after December 31, 2003, Chevron #9-5401 was owned and operated by G&M Oil Co., LLC.
- 5. Chevron does not know the precise dates when gasoline containing MTBE was first stored at Chevron-branded stations because its delivery records do not differentiate gasoline with or without MTBE until late 1992. In general, Chevron-branded stations likely had MTBE in certain premium gasoline grades commencing some time in the late 1980s. Starting in late 1992, all grades of gasoline sold at the Chevron-branded stations contained MTBE during the

wintertime months in order to comply with the federal Wintertime Oxygenate Program. In 1995, Chevron started adding MTBE on a year-round basis to all gasoline grades in order to comply with the federal Reformulated Gasoline Program. Chevron stopped selling gasoline containing MTBE at the Chevron-branded stations in 2003, pursuant to the Governor's Executive order on MTBE Phase Out. Consistent with its general practice, Chevron's delivery records show that it delivered gasoline containing MTBE to Chevron 9-5401 on the following dates:

October 29, 1992 through February 28, 1993;

October 1, 1993 through February 28, 1994;

September 30, 1994 through February 28, 1995; and

September 18, 1995 through January 14, 2003.

The specific dates and amounts of those deliveries are set forth in the spreadsheets produced at CHEVMDL135800000583389 - 585345 and CHEVMDL135800000585346 - 585564.

True and correct copies of certain dealership agreements and related documents for Chevron 9-5401 can be found at:

CHEVMDL135800000585933 - 585943, CHEVMDL135800000585977 - 585986, CHEVMDL135800000585988 - 585991, CHEVMDL135800000585995, CHEVMDL135800000586023 - 586048, CHEVMDL135800000586054 - 586063, CHEVMDL135800000586089 - 586109, CHEVMDL135800000586121 - 586131, CHEVMDL135800000586134 - 586135, CHEVMDL135800000666618 - 666624, CHEVMDL135800000666625 - 666632, and CHEVMDL135800000666633 - 666673.

6. Chevron 208554 at 8980 Warner is a Chevron-branded station. It was owned by G&M Oil Co., Inc. from June 25, 1999 through December 31, 2001 and was owned by G&M Oil Co., LLC from January 1, 2002 until a date after December 31, 2003. During that time period,

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Huntinaton, California, this 27 day of August, 2010.

Tam Bui

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Lhereby certify that on the 3/5th day of August 2010, a true, correct, and exact copy of the foregoing document was served on all counsel via LexisNexis File & Serve.

Jeremiah J. Anderson